



Lili Lai

Hygiene, Sociality, and Culture in Contemporary Rural China

The Uncanny New Village

Amsterdam
University
Press

Hygiene, Sociality, and Culture in Contemporary Rural China

China's Environment and Welfare

China's environmental challenges are an issue of global concern. This however has meant that in much writing on the topic 'the environment' has become equated with 'pollution'. In similar ways, the study of welfare has become synonymous to the study of illness. This book series champions a broad analytical rethinking of these terms, and encourages explorations of their complex interconnections. Practices under scrutiny may range from fengshui and hygiene to farming, forest governance, mining and industry. Topics may be equally wide-ranging, spanning from climate change, waste incineration and cancer villages to everyday environmentalism and cultural and ritual engagements with environment and welfare.

Geographically, the series covers rural and urban areas as well as their growing hybrid meeting point. Interdisciplinary in scope, the series will feature disciplines from across the social science and humanities, including anthropology, sociology, geography, development studies and political science. As a whole, the series promotes a conception of welfare which positions human welfare as part of broader ecological welfare and probes human-ecological interactions. It will make an excellent contribution to the study of China by significantly improving understanding of these major topics and redefining them in a creative and innovative way. The series will also contribute to key debates in Chinese studies on state legitimacy, agency and social change through a close study of these topics.

Series Editor

Anna Lora-Wainwright, School of Geography and the Environment, University of Oxford

Editorial Board

Guobin Yang, University of Pennsylvania, United States

Ralph Litzinger, Duke University, Durham, United States

Michael Hathaway, Simon Fraser University, British Columbia, Canada

Hygiene, Sociality, and Culture in Contemporary Rural China

The Uncanny New Village

Lili Lai

Amsterdam University Press

Cover illustration: The Culture Plaza of Shang Village, photo Lili Lai

Cover design: Coördesign, Leiden

Lay-out: Crius Group, Hulshout

Amsterdam University Press English-language titles are distributed in the US and Canada by the University of Chicago Press.

ISBN 978 90 8964 846 4

e-ISBN 978 90 4852 700 7 (pdf)

DOI 10.5117/9789089648464

NUR 740

© Lili Lai / Amsterdam University Press B.V., Amsterdam 2016

All rights reserved. Without limiting the rights under copyright reserved above, no part of this book may be reproduced, stored in or introduced into a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise) without the written permission of both the copyright owner and the author of the book.

Every effort has been made to obtain permission to use all copyrighted illustrations reproduced in this book. Nonetheless, whosoever believes to have rights to this material is advised to contact the publisher.

To Judy

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| Acknowledgments | 11 |
| Preface | 15 |
| 1 The Local Intimacies of China's Rural-Urban Divide | 23 |
| I The rural-urban divide as a mobile and relative dyad | 24 |
| II Seeing like a state: A sketch of the making of rural-urban divide | 28 |
| III The discourse of "the three- <i>nong</i> question" | 35 |
| IV Situating the research, methodology and theoretical basis | 38 |
| An Overview of Shang Village | 44 |
| 2 Dirt, Hygiene, Habitus | 49 |
| I House, home, and family | 51 |
| II Daily hygiene | 63 |
| III <i>Weisheng</i> | 74 |
| IV New house, new village | 78 |
| V Unavoidable trash | 87 |
| VI Uncanny modernization | 97 |
| 3 Immanent Sociality: Open-ended Belonging | 109 |
| I The Xiaogang Village paradox | 114 |
| II Society of familiars | 119 |
| III Everyday sociality | 124 |
| IV The art of social relations | 133 |
| V Migration: A general background | 138 |
| VI Migrant life: Reproducing belonging | 143 |
| VII New village, new families | 157 |
| 4 Culture Plaza: Why Culture? Whose Plaza? | 167 |
| I Building the Culture Plaza | 171 |
| II Cultivating a new type of peasant who has <i>wenhua</i> | 183 |
| III Planting poplars to develop the economy | 187 |
| IV Interpreting the "scriptures" from above | 197 |
| V Everyday life and the travels of "culture" | 204 |
| VI Discerning <i>wenhua</i> , the cultural | 210 |

| | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----|
| 5 | The Uncanny New Village | 221 |
| | References | 231 |
| | Index | 245 |

List of Figures

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----|
| Figure 1 | The location of Zhaozhou County in China | 45 |
| Figure 2 | Ayi's side house and main house | 53 |
| Figure 3 | Ayi's hall room | 55 |
| Figure 4 | Ayi cleaning the hall room | 58 |
| Figure 5 | Ayi's kitchen | 60 |
| Figure 6 | The pit latrine in Li Shu's old house | 61 |
| Figure 7 | The well and the drainage from inside to outside Ayi's yard | 64 |
| Figure 8 | Shaoli doing laundry | 68 |
| Figure 9 | Ayi's yard and the washstand outside kitchen | 72 |
| Figure 10 | Li Shu's old house and new house (the one on the left) | 79 |
| Figure 11 | The hall room in a new-style house | 83 |
| Figure 12 | The trash spot and the pit next to Li Shu's house | 84 |
| Figure 13 | A collective latrine by the ditch | 85 |
| Figure 14 | Trash in the roadside ditch | 89 |
| Figure 15 | The dirt path outside Ayi's yard, and the pit that collects water from her drainage pipe | 91 |
| Figure 16 | The village recycling business | 105 |
| Figure 17 | Li Shu's clinic | 130 |
| Figure 18 | The other "neighborhood center" | 146 |
| Figure 19 | The polluted river in Wenzhou migrant community | 148 |
| Figure 20 | Old people chatting in the Wenzhou village | 149 |
| Figure 21 | Dorm rooms of Mei and Hao | 151 |
| Figure 22 | A line of locked faucets outside a migrant residence | 155 |
| Figure 23 | Ping's old side house alongside the new house | 160 |
| Figure 24 | The Yellow Dragon Master | 165 |
| Figure 25 | From the Old Pit to Lotus Pond | 175 |
| Figure 26 | Laboring on the Culture Plaza | 178 |
| Figure 27 | The Temple of Yellow Dragon | 213 |

| | | |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----|
| Figure 28 | <i>Paozi hui</i> : preparation | 214 |
| Figure 29 | <i>Paozi hui</i> : the show day | 217 |
| Figure 30 | The <i>Wenhua Guangchang</i> in 2010 | 222 |

Acknowledgments

Though I cannot name them here, having promised not to disclose their identities, first and foremost I want to thank all my friends in Shang village for their generous contributions to the stories appearing in this book. In particular, I thank my two host families, who kindly (and perhaps courageously, without really knowing me) took me in as part of their families, a complete stranger, who claimed to be a researcher but apparently was more interested in sitting around and chatting. I also thank my friends in the county's Chinese medicine hospital, especially "Dajie" Kou Rongyu. It was she who took me to the township office and helped to arrange my stay in Shang village. Later, she also secured a room for me in the hospital's clinic building while I was conducting archival research in the county. Thanks are also due to Ms. Li, who not only let me sit in the county archive, day after day, to read through almost every document concerning local medical policy, but also photocopied many materials for me.

I owe my greatest gratitude to Judith Farquhar, a great mentor and a wonderful friend. Her sparkling intellectual inspiration, empathetic understanding, and encouragement toward quality work, as well as her tireless and enthusiastic reading, commenting upon, and laboring over my dissertation and book drafts, have helped me make a "great leap forward" as a non-native English speaker whose undergraduate specialty was Chinese medicine rather than the humanities. Many outstanding scholars and *laoshi* (teachers) of the Department of Anthropology of The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill contributed to my intellectual development and transformation into an anthropologist. I especially thank Arturo Escobar, Dorothy Holland, Christopher Nelson, and Peter Redfield, as well as that intrepid ethnographer Gang Yue of the Department of Asian Studies. Professor Gao Bingzhong of the Sociology Department of Peking University has been a strong supporter of my post-doctoral research, and it is he who has led me into the circle of Chinese anthropologists, from whom I have learned a great deal in constant scholarly exchange.

I also want to thank many friends who, in one way or another, sustained me over the years: Kim Allen, Ana Araujo, Mikkel Bunkenborg, Chen Huaiyuan, Lily Chumley, Vinci Daro, Stephan Feuchtwang, James Hevia, Jennifer Holdaway, Huang Ping, Huang Yingying, Jason Ingersoll, Jiang Hongsheng, Eric Karchmer, Teresa Kuan, Ralph Litzinger, Anna Lora-Wainwright, Luo Hongguang, Luo Jun, Kacie Martin, John Osburg, Diane Royle, Priscilla

Song, Tan Tongxue, Wang Dan, Wang Min'an, Wang Xiaoyi, Weng Naiqun, Zhang Hui, and Zhu Xiaoyang, among others.

Some ideas that appear in this book were presented at conferences: two annual meetings of the Association for Asian Studies; a symposium on "Environmental Health in China" at Oxford University; a workshop on "Governmentality in China" at Lund University; the 2012 Kaifeng Deleuze International Conference; and the 2010 annual meeting of the Forum on Health, Environment, and Development, Beijing, China. I thank the people who invited me, the organizers, fellow participants, and audience members for very useful conversations.

I gratefully acknowledge the following agencies and organizations for funding my research and writing. My original dissertation research was generously supported by an International Dissertation Research Fellowship from the Social Science Research Council (2005-2006) and Dissertation Fieldwork Grant from the Wenner-Gren Foundation for Anthropological Research (2006). The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill provided me with a dissertation write-up fellowship, administered by the Department of Anthropology. A postdoctoral research grant from the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation, and a Grant for Collaborative Research on Environment and Health in China from the SSRC China Environment and Health Initiative Collaborative Grants Program supported my follow-up research in 2010 and 2011.

Some portions of this book first appeared as articles in *Urban Anthropology and Studies of Cultural Systems and World Economic Development* (Lai 2012), *Theory & Event* (Lai 2013), and *positions: asia critique* (Lai 2014a, b). I thank the editors and reviewers of these journals and the publishers for permission to use materials for this book.

My heartfelt thanks also go to Lilly Lerer, who generously offered to proofread the first draft of my book manuscript. Two reviewers of the book manuscript, Anna Lora-Wainwright and Judith Farquhar, made careful and constructive comments and suggestions. Diane Royle read the last chapter with a critical eye during the final stage of revision.

Thanks are due to members of the Amsterdam University Press for efficiently and sympathetically bringing this book to publication: series editor Anna Lora-Wainwright, senior editor Saskia Gieling, Kristi Prins, Jaap Wagenaar, and my copyeditor Anna Yeadell.

I dedicate this book to Judy, who has been with me all along, through my graduate study and the making of this book, for these many years, these many drafts. Last, but not least, I thank my parents and my sister for their

unconditional love and the support they have always provided to their demanding daughter and sister.

None of the above-mentioned people or institutions is responsible for the interpretations in the book or any of its shortcomings.

Preface

I started my field research in Shang village¹, Henan Province in October 2005. It was a rainy day, and the road was muddy, but we managed to keep ourselves dry and clean since we were in a car that took us all the way from the county seat to the township office. My friend Yu Jie had traveled together from the county seat to introduce me to officials at the Zhaoying township office. Soon after our arrival in Zhaoying, the township office unexpectedly announced that they had decided I should stay in Shang village, instead of Yu Jie's home village, which was the one I had chosen as a field site in a previous visit to the area. Yu Jie quietly suggested that I agree to whatever the office decided. After a phone call from the Zhaoying office to the Shang village Party Secretary, Zhishu, we returned to the car and drove to the village committee courtyard. Fang, the township official in charge of family planning, had been sent by the township office to introduce me to the village. It was already afternoon, and it was at very short notice that Zhishu quickly figured out a place for me, a complete stranger to Shang village to stay that night. I asked him if he could find me an old-style, one-story house, of the kind that at that time seemed to dominate Shang village, even though I had noticed many newly built two- and three-storey houses visible from the main village road. After Zhishu's careful review of potential hosts – he told me later that his major concern had been the issue of my safety as a woman researcher working alone – we met my first host, whom I called Ayi, in Zhishu's courtyard. Only Ayi and her daughter lived in their house. She initially declined the request to host me, insisting, “My house is awfully dirty.” Zhishu urged her to take me over to have a look and let me decide for myself.

Ayi's house looked perfectly “traditional” to me: it was a lovely courtyard with a three-room main house and a two-room side house, a dog, a cat, about twenty chickens, and ten ducks. Zhishu persuaded Ayi to let me stay for the first month, and then we would both decide whether I should move to someone else's house. In fact, I stayed for several months and became friends with Ayi and her daughter, Lihua. In January, when Xiaojun, Ayi's son, was due home for his college winter break, I moved into the village doctor Li Shu's new-style two-storey house.

That, then, is how I spent most of the year of 2005-2006; in a Henan Province village that initially seemed “traditional”, but that was actually

1 All the place names and personal names in this book are pseudonyms.

changing rapidly. This was a significant period for Shang villagers. Just before my arrival in October of 2005, the main paved road running through Shang village had been finished, thanks to the central government's newly implemented effort to build more country roads as part of the *cuncun tong* (roads between villages) project; a further project of *huhu tong* (roads between households) had been promised. Also during this time, the villagers were spreading the delightful news that on 1 January 2006, the national implementation of the historic first abolition of the agricultural tax would take effect. In February, the central government issued its Number One Document for the year 2006, in which the policy of "building new socialist villages" was first announced as the government's primary task, and so it remains at time of this writing.

But things have not developed exactly as the policy intended. Since my first arrival in Shang village, many of the old one-storey houses have fallen into disrepair, and some have collapsed, having long been deserted. Along the paved village roads, two- to three-storey *menmian* (shop-front) houses, newly built with remittance money mostly sent by migrant laborers, dominate the village. Modern appliances are installed in these new houses, including LCD televisions, refrigerators, microwaves, electric rice cookers, and washing machines, and they have running water (either from a 100-meter-deep family well or bought from the township water plant at the price of 2.3 RMB per ton) and indoor plumbing. But there is no sewage system in the village and there is no garbage collecting service. That is to say, there is no place for household waste to go but into ditches and canals outside the houses. Walking along the main village road, one sees all kinds of trash scattered along the roadside: plastic bags, food packaging from cookies, snacks and candies, used toilet paper, discarded clothes, and much more refuse. In some places, the same kind of trash clogs the ditch water. Occasionally, one can even see dead domestic animals in the ditches, such as runt piglets or inedible chickens that died of roup.

This book stems, in part, from my own experiences of unfamiliarity, inconvenience, and discomfort as I adjusted to a contemporary village life that I often found disturbingly uncanny. It engages with the Chinese government's continuing effort to "build new socialist villages" from a grassroots perspective, based on materials collected during fifteen months of ethnographic fieldwork in southwest Henan Province between 2005 and 2011 (twelve months in 2005 and 2006, including two months in Wenzhou, Zhejiang Province, where Shang villagers were working as migrant factory workers; and short visits in summer of 2008, spring of 2010, and fall of 2011, amounting to three months). By exploring the mundane tactics

and strategies through which Shang villagers maintain particular forms of order in their everyday lives, I aim to challenge three major premises that figure importantly in discourses and policies about rural people in contemporary China: rural villages are naturally dirty (in terms of hygiene and the environment), “peasants” are like “a sheet of loose sand” (unable to organize themselves socially), and “peasants” have little “culture” (and thus require a state pedagogical intervention to raise their “cultural level”). Accordingly, this ethnography attends to three aspects of Henan villagers’ everyday life – hygiene, sociality, and culture – through an examination of the uses of space, embodiment, daily practices, and social relations.

Henan is a province where agriculture has long been the major form of livelihood, a fact that now contributes to the province being thought of as a backward region in today’s China. People from Henan, with their distinctive accent, including those from the large cities of the province, are looked down upon as “peasants” in China, despite the province’s proud history as a seedbed of East Asian civilization in ancient times. This translation of the regional into the “rural” or “peasant” means that these value-laden terms have played a complex role, giving “peasant” status a shifting sense, depending on the specific context of conversation. An informal hierarchy attributes different degrees of backwardness to rural people from other provinces as well, although all villagers tend to be despised or ignored by those who consider themselves “true city people”. Alongside the history of an institutionalized political economic rural-urban divide, a rather fixed disparity in social status has developed between the “rural” and “urban” areas usually designated by these two signifiers, disguising with stereotypes the complexity of life in all the diverse regions of China.

This book shows that the rural-urban distinctions maintained in national and anthropological ideologies are not just symbolic and conceptual; they are *lived* in important ways. Further, the ideological and material divide that is supposed to be separating the rural and the urban is simultaneously keeping them co-dependent in a unity. This necessary linkage is maintained in processes that I will identify as *uncanny* modernization. In other words, rural areas have kept pace with the cities in the process of national modernization, but only on “the other side” of recognized progress: the rural is that which has been contained and concealed within China’s much-vaunted large-scale urbanization. Like other widening inequalities in Chinese society, classification of people and places as either rural or urban is neither natural, nor timeless. The political economic roots and social determinants of “dirty villages”, the strategies of dwelling in “villages with hollow hearts” (i.e. communities from which many younger people have

migrated to work in industrial areas), and particular forms of participation in local and national projects of cultural production all reveal much about class, power, and stigmatizing recognitions in China today. But in the final analysis, I suggest that the stigma of peasant-hood is not so much victimizing as it is productive: it generates unique forms of life and structures of feeling for both villagers and urbanites. Meanwhile, rural life, I will argue, is not solely and ineluctably centered on the State and its categories; villages are not entirely defined by the contemporary government policy mandating the development of “new socialist villages”. This book demonstrates that rural life in villages has its own complex cultural character, which cannot be reduced to the qualities predicted by discourses on “the rural-urban divide”.

Chapter One introduces the social and historical background informing the study, first outlining the impacts of an institutionalized administrative urban-rural distinction that has served to maintain some stubborn regional inequalities in China. Then, I will argue that there is, in addition, a real discrepancy between urban and rural ways of life, based in market practices and conditions. This real difference mainly has to do with an increasing gap in standards of living and access to resources between so-called urban and so-called rural areas. Since at least the beginning of the Reform period (about 1978), an image of the urban has been the standard against which experts judge the value (or disvalue) of any community’s living conditions; cities are seen as the natural source of improvements to rural life. The last section of this chapter situates my study vis-a-vis recent ethnographies of rural China, provides an overview of my research methodology, and explains what I mean by uncanny modernization. It considers my reasons for adopting the Freudian concept of the uncanny, which, following Collins and Jervis, “suggests a fundamental indecision and obscurity or uncertainty at the heart of our ontology, our sense of time, place, and history, both personal and cultural” (2008: 2). The uncanny qualities of village life, repressed or rendered invisible in urban/modern processes, if acknowledged, would offer a challenge to consensual knowledge and experience of the rural-urban divide and urbanization/modernization in China.

Chapter Two ethnographically depicts some of the contours of everyday life in the Henan community I am calling Shang village, in particular exploring people’s practical understandings of hygiene. Consciousness of modern urban norms about personal and household hygiene, and desires to suppress and repress trash and dirt in the immediate environment, are internalized by villagers. The “dirtiness” they perceive and take account of is not really seen as a product of their own actions. For Shang village, as for rural China in general, recent problems stemming from the excessive production of trash

and the inadequacy of garbage disposal systems exposes a fundamental problem of so-called modernization. This chapter shows how attention to everyday hygiene in rural Henan reveals the “spectral reality” of modernization (Yan 2003b), a term that refers to an unsystematic assortment of ideological and material elements that has masqueraded as “advanced” cosmopolitan life in towns and cities. “Modern” everyday life, however, with its perceived cleanliness and order, depends on infrastructures that urbanites can take for granted. Urban infrastructures include technologies that remove dirt (in the broadest sense) from view and send it elsewhere for cleaning, storing, burying, and re-use. Chapter Two will show that, for those rural residents who cannot but participate in the modern economy, but who lack the resources to make its inevitable refuse disappear, dirt can only accumulate on their own “new socialist” doorsteps.

Chapter Three aims to convey a vivid sense of the connections among Shang villagers as they pursue a shared social life on an everyday basis. In this ethnographic treatment, I refute certain discourses prevailing in China that attribute a lack of a collective consciousness or any “moral” capacity to “peasants” to organize themselves as a community. A recent scholarly turn away from recognizing village sociality can be traced to the informality, and thus invisibility, of village ties that, I will argue, tend to exist and even thrive beyond the State’s gaze. Adopting Brian Massumi’s notion of *immanent sociality* (2002), I explore how villagers live collective life together on a daily basis, considering what kind of bonds are formed among relatively young migrant workers as they scatter in search of work, and between migrants and those who remain in their home villages, including continuing engagements between the migrant generation and their stay-at-home parents. Even in a “hollow heart” village, there are practical social goods, subtle but important virtues that rely on and arise from the immanent sociality of neighbors, friends, and kin. These locally valued goods have largely ceased to appear in social scientific accounts of the rural economy. Nor is mundane social action at the village level of any interest to formal regulatory and reporting structures. Village sociality, I suggest, is organized through long-constituted relations and slowly formed habitus, however contingent and changeable these may be. What villagers “lack”, I argue, is only a formal articulation of their actions in a normalized, explicit, and officially accountable discourse.

Chapter Four highlights the complicated local situation of the rural politics within which the notions of *wenhua* (culture), the masses, and *suzhi* (population quality) are constantly invoked and interwoven. Specifically, this chapter recounts the aspirations and tensions involved in the

construction of a “Culture Plaza” for the village. The fact that narratives of “*wenhua*” and “the new socialist village” continue to matter in Chinese national policy evokes a familiar socialist tradition characterized by ideological progressivism. In this chapter, I argue that a monotonous focus on economic development has made a narrowed notion of “*wenhua*” appear irrelevant in rural life. This despite the fact that local culture, filled with history and memory, has a real presence in village life, in particular the habits of maintaining domestic order according to local “cultural” standards. Certain new understandings of culture are emerging in China, representations of culture that are highly commoditized and equate “Chinese culture”, popular culture, and civilization (*wenming*) with urban consumer habits and aesthetics. This is a formation of discourse that is in cahoots with the hegemonic imaginary of China’s urban-rural difference, an economic discourse that denigrates rural society and rural life while paying tribute to the national and international cultural industries and advancing a highly mediated consumerism. A hegemonic discourse that constantly invokes the “low *wenhua*/low *suzhi*” of the peasant population raises fundamental questions about the politics of culture in Chinese socialism. An examination of cultural development work in one Henan village, thus, can reveal some of the political tensions around China’s continuing commitment to “modernization” and “development”.

Chapter Five concludes the book by revisiting “the uncanny” and re-characterizing “spectral modernity”. By referring to “the uncanny new village”, I mean to indicate that undesired by-products of urban hygiene have become a form of dirt in the countryside that uncannily reveals what has been repressed in the urban process of modernization, which at the same time constantly overwhelms all “rural” attempts to avoid being polluted by it. Meanwhile, the immanent sociality of villages can be considered uncanny as well; though village ties are spread through space and, contra what some anthropologists have described for post-Mao China, they are not much attenuated. Instead, immanent village sociality contrastively sheds light on the morality of practices in the urban society of “strangers”, characterized as they are by short-term, instrumental, and functionally differentiated relationships. And *wenhua*, despite becoming a slightly bogus official notion of “culture” that is considered to be lacking in villages, can actually be thought of as a rich cultural world that has always been lived in close juxtaposition with what must be denied. Official “*wenhua*”, though not explicitly defined, is closely intertwined with the State’s vision of what it means to be “modern”; in other words, it is defined in accordance with a social policy committed to modernization and stigmatizing of “the

backward". I hope my story of Shang village, by revealing the spectral reality of modernization in an uncanny new village, will put a question mark on the ubiquitous efforts toward urbanization in contemporary China.

In summary, then, this book, a study of village and small town practice in Henan, brings together questions concerning embodiment, space, and everyday life, so as to go beyond the economic meta-narrative of "peasant" studies, while remaining committed to a broadly materialist anthropology. The analysis suggests that the rural-urban divide can be better comprehended by taking into consideration both abstract (and standardized) government policy and particular (diverse and concrete) local conditions and tactics.

With its focus on the everyday social practices that give insight into forms of embodiment and local cultural worlds, this book also seeks to surpass the rural-urban divide, both in China and in anthropology. At the very least, substantive ethnographic attention to the specificities of village life in the contemporary context of Henan, central China, can destabilize China's chronic rural-urban divide and attend to the unique and cosmopolitan – perhaps neither rural, nor urban – voices of at least one group of silenced "peasants".