

Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| Introduction | 7 |
| Chapter 1 Dutch Law in Action Compared | 9 |
| 1.1 Law in action on a small scale | 9 |
| 1.2 Comparing legal infrastructures | 11 |
| 1.3 Comparing internal legal cultures | 14 |
| 1.4 Summary: levels of analysis | 17 |
| Chapter 2 The Court System | 19 |
| 2.1 The court reform | 20 |
| 2.2 The long way to comprehensive judicial review | 21 |
| 2.3 ‘Ombuds’ institutions | 24 |
| 2.4 Mixed feelings | 25 |
| Chapter 3 Jurists, Judges and Practicing Lawyers | 27 |
| 3.1 Law Faculties | 27 |
| 3.2 Judges | 29 |
| 3.2.1 Judges in the Supreme Court | 31 |
| 3.3 Attorneys | 33 |
| 3.3.1 Corporate law firms | 34 |
| 3.3.3 Social advocacy | 35 |
| 3.3.3 Proliferation of law practices | 37 |
| 3.3.4 Male/female | 38 |
| 3.4 Notaries | 38 |
| 3.5 Regulatory reform of the legal professions | 40 |
| Chapter 4 ADR Dutch Style | 43 |
| 4.1 The ‘kort geding’ as alternative dispute resolution | 44 |
| 4.1.1 The rise and decline of the ‘kort geding’ | 46 |
| 4.1.2 Practical exercise in Dutch law in action | 48 |
| 4.2 Additional dispute resolution | 50 |
| 4.2.1 Postbox justice | 51 |
| 4.2.2 Institutional justice | 53 |
| 4.3 In search of optimal legitimacy | 54 |

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| Chapter 5 Criminal Policies | 57 |
| 5.1. The heydays of the pedagogical model | 58 |
| 5.1.1 The Utrecht School and the TBS measure | 59 |
| 5.2 Changing times in the 1980s | 60 |
| 5.2.1 Growing pains: sent away and sloppy law enforcement | 63 |
| 5.3 Public opinion and criminal policy | 65 |
| | |
| Chapter 6 'Beleid in de polder' | 67 |
| 6.1 Going Dutch on crimes without victims | 68 |
| 6.1.1 Soft drugs | 68 |
| 6.1.2 Euthanasia | 70 |
| 6.2 'Poldermodel' | 73 |
| 6.2.1 Two odd subsidies | 74 |
| 6.2.2 The Dutch disease: disability benefits | 75 |
| 6.3 'Gedoogbeleid' and elusive responsibilities | 77 |
| | |
| Conclusion | 79 |