

# Technology and Regulation

Special Issue: Should Data Drive Private Law?

Christoph Busch, Catalina Goanta, Katarzyna Kryla-Cudna,  
Monika Leszczyńska, Vanessa Mak (eds)



OPEN  
PRESS  
TiU

# TECHNOLOGY AND REGULATION 2021-2022

## *Special Issue: Should Data Drive Private Law*

Christoph Busch, Catalina Goanta, Katarzyna Kryla-Cudna,  
Monika Leszczyńska, Vanessa Mak (eds)

DOI: 10.26116/techreg.volume.2021.si

ISBN: 9789403674483

### **Technology and Regulation**

Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology, and Society (TILT)

Tilburg Law School

P.O. Box 90153

5000 LE Tilburg

The Netherlands

[techreg.org](http://techreg.org)

### **Principal Contact:**

Ronald Leenes

*Editor-in-Chief*

Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology,  
and Society (TILT), Tilburg Law School  
[r.e.leenes@tilburguniversity.edu](mailto:r.e.leenes@tilburguniversity.edu)

### **Support Contact:**

Aaron Martin

[a.k.martin@uvt.nl](mailto:a.k.martin@uvt.nl)

**Published by:** Open Press TiU

**Contact details:** [info@openpresstiu.edu](mailto:info@openpresstiu.edu)

<https://www.openpresstiu.org/>

**Cover Design by:** Claudia Tofan & KaftWerk/Janine Hendriks

**Cover Photo by:** [https://unsplash.com/@lin\\_alessio](https://unsplash.com/@lin_alessio)

Open Press TiU is the academic Open Access publishing house for Tilburg University and beyond. As part of the Open Science Action Plan of Tilburg University, Open Press TiU aims to accelerate Open Access in scholarly book publishing.

The **TEXT** of this book has been made available Open Access under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 license.



**Editor-in-Chief:** Ronald Leenes, Professor, Tilburg University

**Managing Director:** Aaron Martin, Tilburg University

**Editors:** Raphaël Gellert, Assistant Professor, Radboud University  
Inge Graef, Associate Professor, Tilburg University  
Esther Keymolen, Associate Professor, Tilburg University  
Eleni Kosta, Professor, Tilburg University  
Giorgio Monti, Professor, Tilburg University  
Robin Pierce, Associate Professor, Tilburg University  
Nadezhda Purtova, Associate Professor, Tilburg University  
Leonie Reins, Assistant Professor, Tilburg University  
Bart van der Sloot, Associate Professor, Tilburg University

**Junior Editors:** Shazade Jameson, Tilburg University  
Hellen Mukiri-Smith, Tilburg University

**Editorial Board Committee:**

Jean-François Blanchette, Associate Professor of Informatics, UCLA  
Lyria Bennett Moses, Professor and Director of the Allens Hub for Technology, Law and Innovation, University of New South Wales  
Ian Brown, Visiting Professor, Fundação Getulio Vargas Direito Rio  
Mark Coeckelbergh, Professor of Philosophy of Media and Technology, University of Vienna  
Michael Froomkin, Full Professor of Law, University of Miami School of Law  
Michiel Heldeweg, Full Professor of Law, Governance and Technology, University of Twente  
Veerle Heyvaert, Associate Professor (Reader) of Law, London School of Economics  
Mireille Hildebrandt, Professor of Smart Environments, Data Protection and the Rule of Law, Radboud University  
Fleur Johns, Professor, Associate Dean (Research), University of New South Wales  
Tim Kelly, Lead ICT Policy Specialist, World Bank  
Bert-Jaap Koops, Full Professor, Tilburg University  
Pierre Larouche, Full Professor in Law and Innovation, University of Montreal  
Eliza Mik, Assistant Professor, Chinese University of Hong Kong  
Deirdre Mulligan, Associate Professor, UC Berkeley  
Andrew Murray, Professor of Law, London School of Economics  
Bryce Newell, Assistant Professor, University of Oregon  
Carly Nyst, Director, Ada Lovelace Institute  
René von Schomberg, Guest Professor, Technische Universität Darmstadt  
Karen Yeung, Interdisciplinary Professorial Fellow in Law, Ethics and Informatics, Birmingham Law School

**Former Editorial Board Committee Members:**

Ian Kerr, Full Professor and Canada Research Chair in Ethics, Law, and Technology, University of Ottawa (deceased)

# Aims and Scope

Technology and Regulation (TechReg) is an international journal of law, technology and society, with an interdisciplinary identity. TechReg provides an online platform for disseminating original research on the legal and regulatory challenges posed by existing and emerging technologies (and their applications) including, but by no means limited to, the Internet and digital technology, artificial intelligence and machine learning, robotics, neurotechnology, nanotechnology, biotechnology, energy and climate change technology, and health and food technology. We conceive of regulation broadly to encompass ways of dealing with, ordering and understanding technologies and their consequences, such as through legal regulation, competition, social norms and standards, and technology design (or in Lessig's terms: law, market, norms and architecture). We aim to address critical and sometimes controversial questions such as: How do new technologies shape society both positively and negatively? Should technology development be steered towards societal goals, and if so, which goals and how? What are the benefits and dangers of regulating human behaviour through technology? What is the most appropriate response to technological innovation, in general or in particular cases? It is in this sense that TechReg is intrinsically interdisciplinary: we believe that legal and regulatory debates on technology are inextricable from societal, political and economic concerns, and that therefore technology regulation requires a multidisciplinary, integrated approach. Through a combination of monodisciplinary, multidisciplinary and interdisciplinary articles, the journal aims to contribute to an integrated vision of law, technology and society. We invite original, well-researched and methodologically rigorous submissions from academics and practitioners, including policy makers, on a wide range of research areas such as privacy and data protection, security, surveillance, cybercrime, intellectual property, innovation, competition, governance, risk, ethics, media and data studies, and others.

TechReg is double-blind peer-reviewed and completely open access for both authors and readers. TechReg does not charge article processing fees.

*Editorial Team*

# CONTENTS

## Special Issue - Abstract

01

### Should Data Drive Private Law?

Christoph Busch, Catalina Goanta, Katarzyna Kryla-Cudna,  
Monika Leszczyńska, Vanessa Mak

02

### Fostering Consumer Protection in the Granular Market

Antonio Davola

03

### Talking at Cross Purposes?

### Computational Analysis of Transparency Duties in DSA & DMA

Fabiana Di Porto, Tatjana Grote, Gabriele Volpi, Riccardo Invernizzi

04

### Algorithmic Price Discrimination and Consumer Protection

Mateusz Grochowski, Agnieszka Jabłonowska, Francesca Lagioia &  
Giovanni Sartor

05

### The legal status of co-generated data

Sjef van Erpi & Koen Swinnen

**Special Issue Editors:** Christoph Busch, University of Osnabrück  
Catalina Goanta, Utrecht University  
Katarzyna Kryla-Cudna, Bristol University  
Monika Leszczyńska, Maastricht University  
Vanessa Mak, Leiden University

**Special Issue**



People differ with respect to their preferences, personalities, cognitive abilities, or attitudes. Yet the way in which private law has evolved in the past centuries sacrifices heterogeneity for the sake of the legal certainty that flows out of generalizations and typifications. Law distinguishes between different groups of individuals such as consumers and professionals, or even between average and vulnerable consumers. These groups are however based on conspicuous features that would justify differential treatment. For instance, determining a profile of the average consumer requires a context, such as a given industry or age group, and reflects specific considerations such as the consumer's skills in retrieving information about a transaction.

Decades of research in psychology and behavioral economics generated a tremendous amount of knowledge about people's behavior, creating typologies with regard to their personality traits, intertemporal or social preferences as well as cognitive skills. Later use of Big Data analysis showed that these types can be predictive of people's behavior, as well as informational needs or other specific characteristics. Recently, legal scholars proposed that insights generated by this research on granular legal rules could be embedded in private law by, for instance, introducing different default rules or privacy disclosures depending on people's personality traits or preferences.

This special issue tackles the question of whether and how data shapes private law. The development of new technologies enables the collection and processing of both personal and non-personal data at an unprecedented scale. The implications of this phenomenon for private law are twofold. On the one hand, the use of data in interactions between individuals may require adjustments or reconceptualization of private law rules and principles. On the other hand, data might be also used by legislators to help create new private law rules as well as to develop consumer empowerment tools to balance their position when transacting with businesses.

Taking these different perspectives, the papers included in this special issue explore the implications of data for private law. The first article by Antonio Davola addresses the question of how the law deals with the use of data by businesses in their interactions with consumers. Davola analyzes existing private law rules on defective consent and argues that these rules could offer potential protection to consumers when they are targeted by businesses' personalized commercial practices. He juxtaposes this solution with those provided by consumer law and data protection regulation, as well as competition law.

Exploring the second perspective – how data can be used in the development of private law – Fabiana di Porto, Tatjana Grote, Gabriele Volpi, Riccardo Invernizzi demonstrate how data can be relied on in the legislative process. Di Porto et al. propose an automated text analysis method to extract information from contributions submitted by stakeholders in the process of public consultation. Specifically, the authors compare the use and understanding of core terms by various stakeholder groups consulted when developing proposals of Digital Markets Act and Digital Services Act.

01