

GUIDE TO EUROPEAN LABOUR LAW

Antoine T.J.M. Jacobs

Guide to European Labour Law

4th Edition

2026



Open Press Tilburg University
Tilburg, The Netherlands

© 2026 A.T.J.M. Jacobs

ISBN: 99789403850122

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.56675/agell4>

Design, typesetting: LINE UP boek en media bv



This is an Open Access book published under the terms of the Creative Commons 4.0 International license (CC BY NC ND 4.0). The full licence terms are available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/legalcode>.

Contents

List of Abbreviations	VIII
1 Constitutional Introduction	1
1.1 Two Europes	1
1.2 A Short History of European Labour Law	1
1.3 The Legal Basis for EU Labour Law	2
1.4 Decision-Making Procedures	3
1.5 Labour Law Decision-Making Based on Specific Competences and Decision Procedures	4
1.6 Preparing and Enforcing EU Labour Law	4
1.7 Legal Shape of EU Law	5
1.8 The Role of the Social Partners	6
1.9 Prospects	7
2 Fundamental Rights	9
2.1 European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms	9
2.2 European Social Charter (ESC)	14
2.3 Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU	17
2.4 Social Rights Versus Laws of the Market	20
2.5 The Promotion of Social and Environmental Fundamental Social Rights via the Trade and Commercial Policies of the EU	22
3 Free Movement (1)	25
3.1 Introduction	25
3.2 Social Security	29
3.3 Impact and Problems	32

4	Free Movement (2)	35
4.1	EU Court Competence Rules	35
4.2	The EU Law on Conflicts on the Labour Market	36
4.3	Social Dumping Risks	37
4.4	Posted Workers	38
4.5	The European Labour Authority	40
4.6	Third Countries Immigration	40
4.7	Migration	44
5	Employment Policies	45
5.1	General	45
5.2	Financial Instruments	48
5.3	Employment Services	53
5.4	The Temporary Employment Agencies Directive	53
6	Individual Employment Law	57
6.1	Introduction	57
6.2	The Transparency Directive (Ex-Written Information Directive)	57
6.3	European Social Partners Directives	60
6.4	The Directive on Part-Time Work	62
6.5	Directive on Fixed-Term Contracts	63
6.6	The Telework Agreement	64
6.7	The Whistleblowers Directive	65
6.8	The Work-Life Balance Directive	65
6.9	The Directive on Minimum Wages	67
6.10	The Directive on Platform Workers	68
7	Equality and Non-Discrimination	69
7.1	Introduction	69
7.2	Concepts and Items in Common	70
7.3	Sex Discrimination	76

7.4	Race Discrimination	80
7.5	Religious Discrimination	81
7.6	Discrimination of the Disabled	81
7.7	Age Discrimination	82
7.8	Sexual Orientation	84
8	Working Environment	85
8.1	General	85
8.2	Health and Safety at Work	87
8.3	Pregnancy and Maternity	89
8.4	Child Labour and Young Workers' Protection	90
8.5	Working Time	90
8.6	Privacy	94
9	Restructuring the Enterprise	95
9.1	Introduction	95
9.2	Collective Redundancies	95
9.3	Transfer of the Undertaking	98
9.4	The Insolvency of the Employer	102
10	Industrial Democracy	105
10.1	Introduction	105
10.2	Information and Consultation in All Medium and Large Size Companies in the EU	107
10.3	The European Works Councils Directive	109
10.4	Workers' Involvement in the European Company ("Societas Europea")	114
10.5	Workers' Involvement in the European Cooperative Society (ECS)	115
10.6	Cross-Border Mergers of Limited Companies	116

List of Abbreviations

CFREU	Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU
CJEU	Court of Justice of the EU
CoE	Council of Europe
EC	European Community
ECB	European Central Bank
ECHR	European Convention on Human Rights
ECJ	European Court of Justice
ECS	European Cooperative Society
ECSR	European Committee on Social Rights
EctHR	European Court of Human Rights
EEA	European Economic Area
EEC	European Economic Community
EED	Equality in Employment Directive
EGAF	European Globalisation and Adaptation Fund
EP	European Parliament
ESC	European Social Charter
ESF	European Social Fund
EU	European Union
EWC	European Works Council
MS	Member State
OMC	Open Method of Coordination
PWD	Posted Workers Directive
RED	Race Equality Directive
SE	Societas Europea
SED	Sex Equality Directive
SME	Small and medium enterprises
SNB	Special Negotiating Body
TEU	Treaty of the European Union
TFEU	Treaty of the Functioning of the EU

1

Constitutional Introduction

1.1 Two Europes

The Continent of Europe actually embraces two kinds of “umbrella” institutions: the COUNCIL OF EUROPE (CoE), with headquarters in Strasbourg, and the EUROPEAN UNION (EU) (formerly European [Economic] Community), with headquarters in Brussels, Luxembourg and Strasbourg.

There are noticeable differences between these two organisations, in:

	Council of Europe (CoE)	European Union (EU)
Membership	ca. 50 Member States	27 Member States
Ambitions	limited	many
Competences	few	large
Institutional strength	low	high

1.2 A Short History of European Labour Law

The Council of Europe had few ambitions in the field of labour law. The main exceptions we will encounter are in the Chapters of this book about Fundamental Rights and about Free Movement of Persons.

Initially the EEC also did not have many ambitions in the field of labour law. During the first 15 years of the EEC, almost the only rules adopted in the field of labour law were in EU Regulations on the item of Free Movement of Workers.

In the years 1970-2005 the call for more European Labour Law became increasingly louder. It led to social policy agendas of the European Commission, Working Programs of European Social Partners and the first two dozen of EU social legislation.

From around 2005 the call for less European Labour Law has grown in the context of action for “Better Law Making”, the “Fitness checks”, the Refit-program, the “Cutting Red Tape” campaign and the policy of a “Return of competences to Member States”. Later followed the financial crisis (2009-2013) which further slowed down the growth of EU labour law, but since about 2015 there has been a revival of European Labour Law, especially after the publication of the European Social Pillar (see chapter 1.9). So the past decade has been very productive for European Labour Law.

Nevertheless, a book about the essentials of European Labour Law can be as thin as one quarter of a book about German, Polish, or Italian Labour Law. The employment relations in Europe still have mostly a national dimension, and politicians and social partners therefore prefer to regulate them on a national scale.

1.3 The Legal Basis for EU Labour Law

Although the European Union is a stronger institution than most other international institutions, it still is not such a strong entity as a true federal state. The European Union can only issue legislation when there is a proper legal basis for it in the EU Treaties. Between 1957 and 1991 the EEC Treaty lacked a clear and comprehensive basis for issuing labour and social security legislation. This weakness has partly been remedied in 1991, 1997 and 2009 by changes in the EU Treaties which have provided a more solid basis, which is now laid down in Art. 9 TFEU (the Horizontal Social Clause) and Art. 153 (1) TFEU.

Art. 9 TFEU requires the EU institutions and the Member States to assess all their policies, laws and activities in light of their implications for the achievement of social goals.¹ Art. 153 TFEU provides for a comprehensive legal basis for launching social measures of EU labour law. However, in the subsequent paragraphs of Art. 153 TFEU, this legal basis is clothed in reservations about the interest of small and medium enterprises (Art. 153 (2b) TFEU), an emphasis on non-harmonisation (Art. 153 (2a) TFEU) and financial equilibrium (Art. 153 (4),

¹ B. P. Vieille, How the Horizontal Social Clause can be made to Work: The Lessons of Gender Mainstreaming, in N. Bruun a.o., *The Lisbon Treaty and Social Europe*, Oxford, 2012, p. 151-121.

the minimum character (Art. 153 (2b) and 153 (4) TFEU) and a few important exceptions in Art. 153 (5) TFEU.

Moreover, there is the general reservation of the principle of **subsidiarity** (Art. 5 (3) TEU and in Protocol 2).²

Until recently, the EU institutions have not felt embarrassed by these reservations to issue new social measures, but notably the exception of Art. 153(5), has appeared as a not to neglect nuisance in the adoption of a directive on minimum wages (see par. 6.9). This has raised the question whether there are more competences in the EU Treaties that can be used to circumvent the reservations of Art. 153 TFEU, such as Arts. 115 TFEU (harmonisation) and 352 TFEU (residual competence).³

1.4 Decision-Making Procedures

Until ca. 1986, all legislative power in the EEC was concentrated in the Council of Ministers, which could only act with unanimity. The European Parliament had only an advisory capacity. Since 1986 (Single European Act), this has been changed step by step by more decision-making by qualified majority (= ca. 21/22 of the 27 EU countries) in the **Council of Ministers**, and by more influence of the **European Parliament**. As far as labour legislation is concerned, the provision, Art. 153 (2) TFEU, divides the social area into two segments:

- Items about which it can be decided jointly by the European Parliament (with simple majority) and the Council of Ministers (acting with qualified majority); if necessary, a so-called **trialogue** is opened between the European Commission, the European Parliament and the Council of Ministers to reach agreement on a common text; and
- Items to be decided by the Council of Ministers with unanimity, in which the European Parliament still has only an advisory role.

² A.T.J.M. Jacobs, *The European Constitution*, Nijmegen, p. 79-82; T. Blanke, *The Principle of Subsidiarity in the Lisbon Treaty*, in N. Bruun a.o., *The Lisbon Treaty and Social Europe*, Oxford, 2012, p. 235-260.

³ See Monti II proposal on strike law (COM (2011) EMPL/093).

There is a provision, the so-called passerelle clause (Art. 153 (2, last line, TFEU), which allows the transfer of the latter category of items to the former category, but this clause has not yet been activated. Should this happen before long?

The problem with all the items mentioned in Art. 153 TFEU, is that they are not well defined, which can lead to court cases (See UK vs Council, 1996).⁴

1.5 Labour Law Decision-Making Based on Specific Competences and Decision Procedures

Since the oldest EEC Treaty, a number of social measures could be founded on specific competences⁵ and decision-making procedures. Actually, they are on:

- Free movement (Art. 46 TFEU): EP + Council with qualified majority
- Company Law (Art. 50(2)(g) TFEU: EP + Council with qualified majority
- Social security (Art. 153 (1) and 21 (3) TFEU): Council with unanimity
- Social security (Art. 48 TFEU): EP + Council with qualified majority, but with an “emergency brake”
- Social security (Art. 79(2) TFEU): EP + Council with qualified majority but with “an emergency brake ‘light’”
- Social Fund (Art. 164/177 TFEU): EP + Council with qualified majority, but within overall budget indirectly based on unanimity
- Transport (Art. 95 TFEU): Council with unanimity
- Equality m/f (Art. 157 TFEU): EP + Council with qualified majority.

1.6 Preparing and Enforcing EU Labour Law

EU legislation takes place on the basis of proposals from the **European Commission**, which plays a strong role in the process of preparing and negotiating, and afterwards monitoring the implementation of the EU rules by the Member States.

⁴ CJEU 12.11.1996, C-84/94 (UK vs. Commission).

⁵ K. Lörcher, Social Competences, in N. Bruun a.o., The Lisbon Treaty and Social Europe, Oxford, 2012, p 166-234.

One of its instruments is the competence of the Commission to bring infraction procedures against the Member States to the Court of Justice of the EU.

The institution of the **Court of Justice of the EU** (CJEU, in the past called ECJ) – either the Court itself or the General Court or specialised courts (Art. 19 TEU) – guarantees the legality and superiority of EU labour law. It does so notably by:

- Review procedures (Art. 263-264 TFEU).⁶
- Infraction procedures (Art. 258-259 TFEU).
- Preliminary rulings procedures (Art. 267 TFEU).⁷

1.7 Legal Shape of EU Law

EU labour law is mainly given the form of regulations or Directives (Art. 288 TFEU). Other instruments are Decisions, Recommendations, as well as Guidelines (Art. 148 TFEU). Since the years 2010-2012, we must not neglect that European Institutions may also influence labour law of debtor Member States by way of financial crisis interventions.

Regulations are the strongest form of EU legislation, as they are directly binding (= binding even without the help of national provisions of the Member States) and have a horizontal effect (binding between private parties, like employers and employees).

In labour law, however, most EU measures have the form of **Directives**. This form leaves the Member States more liberty in implementation; they may even be implemented by collective agreements (Art. 153(3) TFEU). However, they have the disadvantage that their direct binding force and horizontal effect often is not ensured. In principle, there is no binding and horizontal effect of Directives versus non-state parties. However, this disadvantage may sometimes be remedied by a number of doctrines, such as the general principle that national courts must apply national law in loyalty to EU law, and the possibility of reparation of damages against the State (Francovich doctrine).⁸ Moreover,

⁶ See Antoine Jacobs, The enforcement structure for EU Labour Law, in Z. Rasnaca a.o., *Effective Enforcement of EU Labour Law*, Hart, Oxford, 2022, p.13-34.

⁷ See for instance: CJEU 11 September 2019, Nobel Plásticos Ibérica, C-397/18, ECLI:EU:C:2019:703.

⁸ CJEU, 19.11.1991, C-6/90 and 9/90 (Francovich and Bonifaci).