

The Vortex Cosmology

The Vortex Cosmology

Peter Nijman

Author: Peter Nijman

Cover design: Peter Nijman & ChatGPT

ISBN:9789403901626

© Peter Nijman

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means—electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise—without prior written permission from the publisher.

This book contains an original theoretical model developed by the author. All concepts, terms, and interpretations — including the Vortex Cosmology — are unique and fall under the intellectual property of the author. The content is speculative and philosophical in nature and does not constitute empirically proven science.

Table of Contents

Foreword

Chapter 1 — The Limits of the Current Cosmic Model

Chapter 2 — The Limits of Linear Thinking

Chapter 3 — The Universe as a Vortex

Chapter 4 — Implosion = Explosion

Chapter 5 — Black Holes as Regeneration Points

Chapter 6 — Dark Matter as Fragmented Mass

Chapter 7 — Redshift as Perspective

Chapter 8 — Cycles Repeat Processes, Not Objects

Chapter 9 — Scale Is Not Absolute

Chapter 10 — The Position of Humanity Within the Vortex

Chapter 11 — The Inward-Turning Multiverse

Chapter 12 — The Cosmic Tree Structure

Chapter 13 — What This Means for Physics

Chapter 14 — What This Means for Philosophy

Chapter 15 — The Future of Cosmology

Chapter 16 — Truth as Naming

Epilogue — The Spiral Continues

Foreword

Modern cosmology is built on assumptions that have never been fully proven. We accept singularities that cannot exist, dark energy that no one truly understands, and an expanding universe that expands only because we interpret it that way.

This book does not offer an alternative “story,” but a systems theory: a way of seeing that brings all the scattered pieces together into one coherent whole.

The Vortex Cosmology is not a fantasy. It is a logical framework that resolves the inconsistencies of current models through one simple shift: we reverse the perspective.

Chapter 1— The Limits of the Current Cosmic Model.

Why the current model fails not from lack of data, but from lack of perspective.

A strange silence hangs over modern cosmology. Not the silence of a universe expanding in all directions, but the silence of a science that no longer dares to question its own foundations.

The Big Bang has become an icon — a cosmological folk tale everyone knows but almost no one understands. A story that begins with an explosion out of nothing, a universe that appears suddenly without cause, without history, without context.

But if you take physics seriously, nothing can arise from nothing. Not in quantum mechanics. Not in relativity. Not in thermodynamics. Not in any system we know.

And yet the Big Bang is allowed to do exactly that. Why? Because we lacked a better perspective.

1.1— A Model Built on Emergency Patches

Modern cosmology rests on assumptions that were once pragmatic but are now treated as sacred truths. The Big Bang is one of them.

Dark matter is another. Dark energy yet another.

And then there are the singularities — mathematical escape hatches that no other branch of physics accepts, yet cosmology embraces without hesitation.

We are told the universe began as a point of infinite density. Infinite. A word that in physics usually means: something is wrong here.

But in cosmology it means: this is the foundation of everything.

It is like building a house on a foundation made of mist.

1.2— The Big Bang as Reconstruction, Not Observation

The Big Bang did not arise because someone had an elegant idea. It arose because Edwin Hubble's observations — the redshift of distant galaxies — demanded an explanation.

The simplest interpretation was:

- everything is moving away from us
- therefore it must once have been closer together
- therefore there must have been a beginning

It is a logical chain. But it is not proof.

It is like seeing a photograph of a droplet falling from a leaf and concluding that the droplet appeared out of nowhere. You see only the moment of release, not the cycle that preceded it.

The Big Bang is a reconstruction. Not an observation.

1.3 — Dark Matter: The Mass We Feel but Cannot See

When astronomers discovered that galaxies rotate faster than their visible mass allows, a new concept was introduced: **dark matter**. An invisible substance that:

- emits no light
- absorbs no light
- reflects no light
- does not interact with ordinary matter
- yet somehow exerts gravity

In other words: an entity that exists only because the model collapses without it.

Dark matter is not a discovery. It is a correction term. A bandage on a tearing model.

1.4 — Dark Energy: The Force No One Understands

When it turned out that the universe is not only expanding but accelerating, yet another correction term was added: **dark energy**. A mysterious force that:

- is present everywhere
- is visible nowhere
- has no source
- has no mechanism
- yet supposedly makes up 70% of the universe

It is like having a machine that doesn't work and saying: "There must be an invisible motor we cannot measure."

Dark energy is not a theory. It is a placeholder.

1.5 — Singularities: The Mathematical Emergency Exit

When Einstein's equations lead to infinities, physics normally says: "Our equation is outside its domain."

But cosmology says: "This is the origin of everything."

A singularity is not a physical object. It is a sign that the theory has failed.

And yet the Big Bang is a singularity. Black holes are singularities. The cosmos is built on mathematical emergency exits.

1.6 — The Universe as a Patchwork

If you look at modern cosmology as a system, you do not see an elegant whole. You see a patchwork:

- Big Bang (unexplained)
- inflation (unexplained)
- dark matter (unexplained)
- dark energy (unexplained)
- singularities (unexplained)
- expansion (unexplained)

The model works as long as you don't ask too many questions.

But the moment you ask:

- Where did the Big Bang come from?
- Why does dark energy exist?
- What is dark matter?
- Why are singularities allowed?
- Why is the universe expanding?

The model falls apart.

1.7 — The Need for a New Perspective

Physics has always advanced when someone dared to flip the perspective:

- Newton: motion is not absolute
- Einstein: time is not absolute
- Heisenberg: certainty is not absolute
- Dirac: matter is not absolute

And now it is time for the next step:

space is not absolute. scale is not absolute. observation is not absolute.

The Vortex Cosmology does not begin with an explosion, but with a question:

What if we are looking at the universe the wrong way around?