

Making Media

Making Media

Production, Practices, and Professions

*Edited by
Mark Deuze and Mirjam Prenger*

Amsterdam University Press

Cover illustration: Artwork by Wineke Gartz

Cover design: Gijs Mathijs Ontwepers, Amsterdam

Lay-out: Crius Group, Hulshout

ISBN 978 94 6298 811 8

e-ISBN 978 90 4854 015 0

DOI 10.5117/9789462988118

NUR 810

© The authors / Amsterdam University Press B.V., Amsterdam 2019

All rights reserved. Without limiting the rights under copyright reserved above, no part of this book may be reproduced, stored in or introduced into a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise) without the written permission of both the copyright owner and the author of the book.

Every effort has been made to obtain permission to use all copyrighted illustrations reproduced in this book. Nonetheless, whosoever believes to have rights to this material is advised to contact the publisher.

Table of Contents

Introduction

1. Making Media: Production, Practices, and Professions 13
Mark Deuze and Mirjam Prenger

Production

Research

2. Media Industries: A Decade in Review 31
Jennifer Holt and Alisa Perren
3. Media Production Research and the Challenge of Normativity 45
David Lee and Anna Zoellner
4. Access and Mistrust in Media Industries Research 61
Patrick Vonderau
5. Cultural and Creative Industries and the Political Economy of Communication 73
Bernard Miège
6. The Platformization of Making Media 85
David Nieborg and Thomas Poell (with Mark Deuze)

Economics and Management

7. The Disappearing Product and the New Intermediaries 99
Chris Bilton
8. Value Production in Media Industries and Everyday Life 111
Göran Bolin
9. Transformation and Innovation of Media Business Models 121
Mikko Villi and Robert G. Picard

10. Shifts in Consumer Engagement and Media Business Models 133
Sylvia Chan-Olmsted and Rang Wang

11. Media Industries' Management Characteristics and Challenges in a
Converging Digital World 147
Paolo Faustino and Eli Noam

Policy

12. Global Media Industries and Media Policy 163
Terry Flew and Nicolas Suzor

13. Media Concentration in the Age of the Internet and Mobile Phones 175
Dwayne Winseck

Practices

Innovation

14. Making (Sense of) Media Innovations 193
Arne H. Krumsvik, Stefania Milan, Niamh Ní Bhroin, and Tanja Storsul

15. Start-up Ecosystems Between Affordance Networks, Symbolic Form,
and Cultural Practice 207
Stefan Werning

Work Conditions

16. Precarity in Media Work 223
Penny O'Donnell and Lawrie Zion

17. Making It in a Freelance World 235
Nicole S. Cohen

18. Diversity and Opportunity in the Media Industries 247
Doris Ruth Eikhof and Stevie Marsden

19. Labour and the Next Internet 259
Vincent Mosco

Affective Labour

20. Affective Labour and Media Work 275
Eugenia Siapera
21. Affective Qualities of Creative Labour 287
Zelmarie Cantillon and Sarah Baker
22. A Business of One or Nurturing the Craft: Who are You? 297
Ilana Gershon and Mark Deuze

Professions

Music

23. Music in Times of Streaming: Transformation and Debate 309
Sofia Johansson
24. Popular Music, Streaming, and Promotional Media: Enduring and Emerging Industrial Logics 321
Leslie M. Meier

Television

25. Show Me the Money: How Revenue Strategies Change the Creative Possibilities of Internet-Distributed Television 337
Amanda D. Lotz
26. Flexibility, Innovation, and Precarity in the Television Industry 347
Paul Dwyer

Social Media

27. Creator Management in the Social Media Entertainment Industry 363
David Craig
28. #Dreamjob: The Promises and Perils of a Creative Career in Social Media 375
Brooke Erin Duffy

Public Relations and Advertising

29. Redefining Advertising in a Changing Media Landscape 389
Sara Rosengren
30. Perceptions and Realities of the Integration of Advertising and Public Relations 399
Dustin Supa

Digital Games

31. Game Production Logics at Work: Convergence and Divergence 413
Aphra Kerr
32. Reflections on the Shifts and Swerves of the Global Games Industry 427
Casey O'Donnell

Journalism

33. 'It Never Stops': The Implicit Norm of Working Long Hours in Entrepreneurial Journalism 441
Amanda Brouwers and Tamara Witschge
34. Transmedia Production: Key Steps in Creating a Storyworld 453
Ana Serrano Tellería (with Mirjam Prenger)

Conclusion

35. Making Media: Observations and Futures	465
<i>Henry Jenkins, Elizabeth Saad Corrêa, Anthony Fung, and Tanja Bosch</i>	
Author Biographies	481

Introduction

1. Making Media: Production, Practices, and Professions

Mark Deuze and Mirjam Prenger

Introduction

What does it mean to make media professionally? To be a journalist, a marketing communicator, advertising creative, or public relations practitioner? What is it like to work in film or television, to develop games, to be a musician, or manage a record label for a living? It is safe to say that the answers to these questions vary greatly. Whereas the various fields of cultural work used to be considered in terms of their specifics, contemporary scholarship acknowledges the great diversity and hybridization of practices and careers that make up formerly distinct professions in the media industries. Profound transformations are afoot in the areas of technology and digitalization, management and economics, culture and audience preferences, as well as in the role politics and policymaking plays in both enabling and constraining the ways in which media industries and professionals can do their work and earn a living.

This book aims to investigate, illustrate, and critically analyse how the various technological, political, economic, and social transformations are affecting the media industries as well as the people professionally making media. The goal is to provide those interested in studying media industries and production, and those considering a career in the media a clear grasp of the relevant topics and themes, as well as an understanding of what shapes media work. The authors gathered in this volume provide a comprehensive review of the scholarly research on making media, delving specifically into emerging themes such as the emotional quality of media work, the radical changes affecting the business operations of media firms, and ways in which precarity determines the lived experience of media professionals across a variety of media industries. In doing so, we endeavour to bring into conversation different domains of theory and practice in media industries: political economy and cultural studies; the sociology of work and psychology of professional decision-making; production and management studies.

In order to grasp the many developments shaping the creative work media professionals do, we have structured this book in three sections: production, practices, and professions. Media *production* considers issues at play within, across, and around the institutions and forces that create our media, our information, and our culture: management and economics, media policy, markets, consumers (Hesmondhalgh,

2006; Paterson, Lee, Saha, & Zoellner, 2015). Media *practices* involve the various ways in which media professionals make media (and, importantly, how they ‘make it work’ in the media industries): innovation, working conditions, affective labour. Media *professions* are those more or less demarcated fields of work that make up professional life in the media industries, including (but not limited to): journalism, advertising, marketing communications, public relations, digital games, television, music and recording, and social media entertainment.

Media production

Technology has always been an amplifier and accelerator of media industry trends, just as developments in media making have inspired and supercharged the development of technology. However, in recent decades there has been a marked shift from consumer electronics to information technology as the most powerful sectoral force shaping how media content gets produced, distributed, and experienced. At the heart of the changes within and across the media industries is the role of the internet, platforms, and connected devices such as smartphones. In only a short time these technologies and the businesses that thrive within these infrastructures – the so-called new intermediaries, from hardware manufacturers (Samsung, Apple) and software developers (Microsoft, Alphabet, Tencent) to platforms (Facebook, Google, YouTube) and online marketplaces and services (Netflix, Amazon, Spotify) – have uprooted and disrupted the ways in which legacy media operate. Generally, it is safe to say that these technologies and corporations have nestled themselves firmly in-between media users and producers, making each of them co-dependent on their products and platforms for formatting, distributing, accessing, and sharing media content.

As media institutions (and the people working across the media industries) adapt to this new reality, the values, expectations, and structures of the digital economy come to co-determine creative decisions and processes. Automation, data, and algorithms play an increasing role in all forms of media work, acting as demand predictors as well as content creators (Napoli, 2014). The digital technological context of media work means that more focus is placed on user-generated content and consumer engagement, as well as on generating (and using) data about users and consumers of products and services online.

As institutions across the media industries respond to (and, in part, join forces with) the new cultural intermediaries, they continue to computerize and digitalize all elements of the production cycle of making media. Sometimes, this means that entire divisions, departments or standalone companies are formed, and new professional roles emerge – such as content manager, engagement editor, data analyst, and digital editor. In other instances, the emerging technological context is implemented

to primarily facilitate existing work and production processes – generally leading to job cuts and other ‘doing more work with fewer workers’ deleterious managerial interventions. To some extent, all of this means that the standard ways of doing things can change quite substantially in the industry, while, at the same time, some companies use newer technologies primarily to maintain and streamline existing structures and production cycles.

Key is that processes of disruption and consolidation co-exist, in essence liquefying and solidifying media production at the same time.

Media practices

In terms of the actual work of making media, the emerging technological context of media work diminishes the cost of the production of media. Media companies large and small struggle to adapt, as they tend to be stuck in ‘heavy’ material contexts consisting of expensive studio complexes and associated equipment, dedicated newsrooms, content management systems, and other proprietary software packages and hardware configurations designed for particular uses within specific companies and industries.

The immaterial context of media organization and management similarly comes under pressure from technological changes. Legacy media are historically oriented toward specific schedules associated with platform-specific production processes benchmarked by deadlines, around which other societal systems – such as companies, government institutions, and political parties – traditionally organize their operations (as expressed through press conferences, national and global release schedules of films and games, and routinized cycles in advertising determined by events and seasons). However, the digital realm introduces a new media logic, one that seems oblivious to industrial-age schedules or more or less predictable production cycles, forcing organizations to aggressively replace ‘analogue’ production practices with ‘digital’ ones. The digital economy tends to be sold as one that is ‘always on’ and therefore managing a permanent publication presence is of the essence to any media firm or professional wishing to stand out. In the process, new technologies (and associated values and practices) are introduced to manage an organization of work that is supposedly more flexible, nimble, and (most importantly) always ready to go.

In manufacturing, the process of acceleration (while cost-cutting) is known as the managerial philosophy of ‘just-in-time’ production: the production of goods to meet customer demand exactly in time, quality, and quantity. This approach is also part and parcel of much media work, where continuous deadlines have become the norm. Furthermore, media practices are disjointed because of the

increasingly networked nature of the production cycle – where you can be part of a product, process, or professional peer group without necessarily being in the same building, city, or even country. As media industries diversify their activities across multiple media and markets, media professionals are expected to cultivate cross-media proficiencies and multi-skill (rather than honing their craft in one specific area of expertise).

Key is that media practices are both accelerating and being supplemented by a wide array of new roles, skills, and competences, contributing to an ongoing destabilization process both felt and experienced by practitioners.

Media professions

What all of this worldwide shuffling of the cards with which media makers have to play means for media professions, is that the boundaries between formerly distinct peer-based communities of practice are blurring. Media work, once located in institutions where contracted labourers would produce content under informal, yet highly structured working conditions, today is best typified by a lived experience of precarity and fragmentation. As freelancing, part-timing, temping, or otherwise contingent work becomes the norm across journalism, film and television, games, music and recording, advertising, and social (entertainment) media, practitioners increasingly move within and between professions. Journalists cross-subsidize their work with copywriting for commercial purposes, marketing agencies set up fully fledged newsrooms to provide quality content to clients, musicians earn a living by partnering with brands, film and television makers cross industry lines left and right, and those most versatile in computer skills can be found anywhere in the industry – not just in digital studios.

At the same time, a media professionals' primary way of making sense of him or herself is through recursive self-reference, particularly when it comes to those professionals working inside more or less established companies. More often than not, this means that the various developments affecting the industry – such as emerging technologies, new business models, national and international media policies, and changing audience tastes, habits, and influences – are perceived as coming from the outside, and the digital future is therefore seen as something happening to media industries and professionals (rather than, for example, also occurring because of the way they function and work). For media practitioners whose identities are tied to the specific way they perform (or used to perform) their work, these changes can be experienced as a profound threat to their profession. As a consequence, there can be a hesitation in embracing the many new opportunities, affordances, and convergences that the disruption and transformation of the media industries offer.

There is no formal entry requirement to any kind of media work – although students around the world flock to media degrees like bees to honey, expecting a perfect gateway to what seems like a highly attractive industry. Simultaneously, institutions for higher education worldwide provide a wide range of (skills-based) media production tracks and degrees, offering the promise of employment in an exciting, dynamic, and high-profile field. Media industries are overpopulated with young, passionate and hard-working men and women, usually living and working in the heart of the city in close proximity to each other, their work, and sources of entertainment. However, what often remains unseen is the ambiguous reality behind the glossy, attractive image of media work: the enormous amount of emotional labour that is required to ‘stay in’ the industry, the ability to handle rejection, stress, and permanent impermanence, the need to constantly perform and present yourself in the best possible light in order to succeed, and having to financially and emotionally cope with being un(der)paid while trying to ‘make it work’ in an unforgiving climate.

The informal nature and relative ease of getting into the industry furthermore belie its highly complex, bureaucratic, computerized, and formulaic nature. Often the lofty ideal of self-actualization through meaningful creative work suffers as media professionals experience boredom, frustrated development, and feelings of powerlessness (Hesmondhalgh & Baker, 2011, p. 39). The informality of media work is a structural feature, championed by both employees and employers as a liberating element privileging creativity and innovation, while simultaneously functioning as a highly effective de-politicizing device, as few media workers find time, motivation, or social support to mobilize against exploitative labour arrangements (McRobbie, 2016).

Key is that the work of making media belongs at once to a clearly recognizable set of distinct industries, practices, and professions, while, at the same time, it can be considered to be transforming, destabilizing, and even de-professionalizing (Witschge & Nygren, 2009) in the process.

Main trends in making media

Surveying the fast-growing literature on what making media professionally is like, interviewing and observing media workers, and teaching media production offers excellent data to synthesize the consequences of the aforementioned changes in media making. This corpus has been subjected to a principal component analysis (PCA), a technique used in statistics to recognize patterns in a dataset by organizing the variance hierarchically, thereby only selecting those components that display the greatest variance for further analysis. In social theory – particularly in the work of Luhmann (1990) – principal components are seen as essential constituents of

social systems that ‘transform themselves into themselves’ (Mingers, 2003, p. 404). In other words: a principal component is something – a trend, a concept, or a specific set of circumstances – that all practitioners who make media professionally experience in one way or another, whether in journalism, advertising, marketing communications, public relations, digital game development, film and television, music and recording, or social media work.

In operationalizing the concept of principal components, the distinctive role that specific trends, concepts, or circumstances play in making media is the key to identifying them. We identified nine principal components: collapse, hybridity, affordance, power, flexibility, precarity, entrepreneurship, agency, and affect. We will briefly discuss each concept, recognizing how these developments, at times, conjure contradictory experiences for media professionals.

Collapse

There is an overall sense of collapse across the media industries: a collapse of parts, units, functions, roles, business and revenue models, for example. Whether real or perceived, there is a prevailing sense and discourse that traditional ways of doing things do not work (anymore) in the digital age. Particularly when it comes to business models, the relative stability of advertising and sales has collapsed into online (and offline) business models that combine revenue streams from multiple sources, cultivate and commodify relations with consumers, and bypass media producers altogether in order to co-create with media users (as citizen journalists, influencers, and productive fans). At the same time, the rapid adoption of digital devices and platforms as the go-to technologies for accessing and experiencing media fundamentally altered the habits of audiences, collapsing the categories of consuming and producing media. Collapse is also present in the distinctly ‘making’ aspects of media making, as genres, storytelling formats, and creative practices collapse in favour of hybrid or hybridized media products and production processes. Everywhere we see an ongoing convergence of different domains, sectors, and disciplines within and across the media industries, bringing new challenges for managing media firms and production processes.

Hybridity

Media products become increasingly hybridized under conditions of collapse, and are difficult to place into categories that can be effectively isolated and subsequently micro-managed. Combined with the increasingly promotional role of media content, this has caused boundaries between professions and practices to blur. The rise of branded content and native advertising (i.e. advertising in the form of editorial content)

in journalistic media, for instance, has blurred the distinction between journalism and advertising, just as the distinction between authentic content and paid-for experiences and opinions can be hard to make in social media entertainment (cf. YouTubers), and a musician's live performance can simultaneously be a promotion of a product or a brand.

Hybridization has implications for media makers, who must be simultaneously generalists and specialists, combining the command of one profession with knowledge of a host of others. Media makers face pressures to combine particular activities associated with making – such as gathering, selecting, curating, producing, editing, writing, filming, designing, coding, so on and so forth – with work to do in the areas of the promotion and distribution of media content. For many, if not most media workers, making media increasingly coincides with distributing, promoting, and selling media, partly because their livelihood depends on it, in part because this is something employers expect, and also because the aforementioned collapse of distinct departments and roles within the media industries shifts the responsibility for managing every aspect of making media from the firm to the individual professional.

Affordance

Although technological developments can most certainly be described in terms of the rise of new digital intermediaries, platformization, datafication, and algorithms, we should not ignore the tremendous affordances new media also offer to makers. The underdetermined nature of digital media enable practitioners to experiment with telling stories in all kinds of ways. Opportunities arise in the fields of extended reality (XR) and transmedia storytelling, where media makers are challenged to use multiple media channels, formats, and interfaces. Through new (and often relatively cheap and easy to use) technologies, more people can participate in making media than ever before, stimulating both the emergence of a truly global market for media makers, as well as local playgrounds for the inclusion of many different voices and communities. The possibilities to interact with audiences have increased significantly, paving the way for various forms of co-creation. Although traditional (advertising and sales-based) business models of media organizations are under pressure, the opportunities to monetize media content and products expand, just as the platforms on which content can be shared multiply, potentially providing media makers with more autonomy and creative freedom.

Power

Given the profound transformations across the media industries and the corresponding destabilization of media professions and practices, questions of power become highly relevant. There is a general shift in power being whisked away from professional

content creators to media users and owners – and, specifically, the new digital intermediaries. As a result, most professional practitioners experience media work as precarious. They are being underpaid and undervalued for their contributions, and are expected to do more than before (often with fewer resources at their disposal or colleagues to collaborate with). Although unions are active to some extent in the media industries, media makers tend not to become members of such formal organizations. Precarious working conditions and networked production processes furthermore tend to handicap efforts to mobilize otherwise fragmented media professionals.

On the other hand, the number of professional associations is rising across the various industries. Media professionals do find new ways to organize themselves, both online (through closed Facebook and LinkedIn groups, for example) as well as offline (especially in the context of distinctly local communities and place-based social support structures). By developing infrastructures to support independent work, these types of formal and informal alliances help to counteract the various forms of power imbalance that are visible within the media industries.

Flexibility

Flexibility (whether numerical, functional, temporal, or financial) is a key governing principle in media work, and runs through all accounts of what it is like to make it work as a professional media maker. Numerical flexibility refers to the creative use of workforce numbers to manage a media organization. This means, for example, that even a full-time salaried and contracted position can disappear in an instant, work potentially moves overseas overnight, and your team may dissipate at any moment due to suddenly changing working arrangements. Functional flexibility describes the division of the media workforce in a multi-skilled core of employees and a periphery of freelance professionals. The overall trend is that this 'core' is not only diminishing, but also does not offer many guarantees in terms of job security. At the same time, freelancers generally report not seeking the relative safety of a full-time contract with an established media company, even though many freelance media workers struggle to make a living (Deuze & Witschge, 2018). The overall lack of dependable, well-organized (such as '9-to-5' type) working schedules is known as temporal flexibility, whereas financial flexibility refers to the generally uneven, individualized, and performance-based systems of rewards and remunerations (instead of uniform salaries) in place across the media industries.

To be flexible – as a media firm or professional – thus means many things at the same time. Flexibility both disrupts established practices of making media as well as consolidates (and, perhaps more importantly, naturalizes) specific ways of organizing the work. What flexibility particularly brings to making media, is *presentism*: a form of limiting and defusing concern for one's work and career prospects by focusing

on the present (Goyanes & Rodríguez-Gómez, 2018) to the detriment of historical understanding and rational anticipation of possible futures (Bourdieu, 1998).

Precarity

Media work is a lesson in precarity, meaning: coming to terms with having little or no control over ‘what happens next’ in your professional career. Although this is not necessarily a new situation for many media professionals, precariousness is evident in constantly changing labour conditions, in particular the rise of the so-called gig economy with its emphasis on short-term project labour, often organized through online platforms. Such a way of living and working – of having a workstyle rather than a lifestyle (Deuze, 2007) – among other things necessitates the maintenance of a permanent self-promotional profile across various social networks.

This is not to say that the ‘happy few’ who have indeed secured stable, steady jobs supported by contracts and excellent labour conditions (such as a pension plan and medical insurance policies) do not experience precariousness. This is quite possibly the most important insight regarding this principal component of professional media makers: regardless of your formal status as a worker in the media industries, you always work from project to project, from one story to the next, from task to assignment – all the while governed by the informal rule that you are only as good as your last production.

One way to master the art of living with precarity is finding a way to cross-subsidize the work: doing what you have to do in order to do what you want to do. This model is quite common in regions where a lot of high profile media companies are based, such as Soho in London, Hollywood in Los Angeles, and Madison Avenue in New York. Beyond film, advertising, and television, this way of working increasingly applies elsewhere in the media industries as well, especially in journalism. As mixing news work with other communication-related jobs such as public relations tends to be perceived as rather problematic when it comes to the editorial autonomy of reporters, these forms of cross-subsidy are not without complications. Since precarity has become a permanent component of making media professionally, practitioners will have to figure out how to deal with (potential) professional frictions resulting from cross-subsidizing their work.

Entrepreneurship

The rise of entrepreneurship, framed as an individual solution to systemic problems, is clearly visible within media industries. It is a dominant frame in contemporary policy and management discourse. One’s ability to take risks, find new business opportunities, and being a successful self-promoter tends to be heralded as an

appropriate response to a contingent and altogether precarious industry context. However, an alternative conceptualization of being entrepreneurial can be identified as well: not as a 'business saviour' (Sørensen, 2008), but as the building block of a social support system – as a skillset contributing to sustainable community-formation and the design of creative solutions for urgent problems in everyday life and society at large.

The rhetoric and logic of start-up culture and entrepreneurialism can be perceived all around us. It propagates a playful mindset, sees disruption as a force for good, and equates work with passion, thereby celebrating and naturalizing the overwork through which the 'passion project' of making media is pursued professionally. The entrepreneurial mindset reinforces the idea that making media is not just a way to make a living – it becomes your identity. Making media – being a journalist, a recording artist, a game developer, an Instagram influencer – is not something you do, it's something you are. And, we should add, rebelling against who you are is something most of us would understandably hesitate to do.

Agency

Making media professionally puts practitioners in a field of sometimes complimentary, and often competing pressures and forces. In essence, these elements comprise content, connectivity, creativity, and commerce. Media industries produce content, but also invest in platforms for connectivity – where fans and audiences provide the free labour of sharing, commenting, and co-creating content. Media work is based on the creative desire to tell stories – a feeling common among practitioners across the various media industries, generally associated with a wish to do so autonomously – yet tends to take place within a distinctly commercial context. Within the broader context of the principal components that make up media work, it is up to each professional to find agency by negotiating and balancing these four core pressures.

Agency for professional media makers can be expressed on different levels. On the individual level, practitioners build networks – not just as a way to find and get new jobs, assignments, and clients, but also to build a social support structure. Peer communities are a crucial part of the job, and are often distinctly local – as in the media industries all production is global but all work is local. This international division of cultural labour means that each individual media worker is responsible for preparing, finding, and keeping employment in the context of a truly global industry. Particularly freelancers have to find creative and experimental ways to address work-related challenges outside official legal regimes – including visibility projects, organized campaigns, and collective organizations. The media tend to cluster in specific (urban) areas, within which an ongoing exchange of labour, talent,

and skills takes place between people and organizations. This creates a sense of community that can help practitioners to collectively find some agency vis-à-vis an otherwise uncontrollable international division of labour.

On the macro level, agency can be found through a keen understanding of the global production networks of the media industries and the way markets function. This for example means that, while overall the various industries prefer to invest in proven formats and predictable products (such as movie, television and game franchises, established beats in news media, genre conventions in music), every company needs to engage in exploratory innovation as well in order not to fall behind, as well as to secure the ‘next big thing’.

Affect

Above all, media work is a form of affective labour: work that elicits an affective investment from its practitioners exceeding conscious deliberation, and that is intended to elicit a similarly pre-cognitive response in people. In the contemporary attention economy, engagement is a key aspect of making media: not just getting people to notice and pay attention to your product or service – which is difficult enough – but even more so, to get people engaged, to suspend their disbelief, to keep them coming back for more. Insights from consumer psychology and behavioral design are becoming quite popular in the media industries, propelled by the enormous amount of data that media users generate online, and propped up by companies such as Facebook and Google. At the same time, media professionals are also, to some extent, expected to surrender completely to their work, in part encouraged by comfortable, and at times even playful working environments – which, in the case of freelancers, also include the private home, or any one of the countless cozy coffee shops and cafés serving as informal office spaces.

What is key here, is the fundamental role feelings and emotions play in making media, and making it work as a media professional. Not only is the labour affective, it is immaterial: a category of work where people turn the whole self into work. Where material labour refers to learned skills in industrial settings – like operating machinery – immaterial labour includes social and communication skills. Affective and cognitive activities – the way we feel about ourselves, things, and each other, and how we make sense of these aspects of our lives – become commodified in media work. They are part of ‘what it takes’ to be a professional media maker: to develop a sense of taste, to empathize with the desires and needs of others, to translate all of this in products and services that appeal to people.

Media work is furthermore a form of emotional labour, denoting the process by which workers are expected to manage their feelings in accordance with organizationally defined rules and guidelines. Managing (and more often than not repressing)

one's emotions is key to survival and success in media work. Negative emotions such as hate, anger, jealousy, and sadness are usually not tolerated – especially among newcomers. Although conflict is an often cherished aspect of media work – generally considered to be conducive to creativity and innovation – the level of friction allowed in media work should not be overestimated. This requires constant, careful calibration by each and every media professional.

Finally, media work as a form of affective labour is passionate: extreme emotions are part of making the work meaningful. Although one could argue that, according to objective standards, many (if not most) media workers suffer from all kinds of labour exploitation – doing underpaid or unpaid work, experiencing dismissal or rejection for no apparent reason – still, many express that they 'cannot believe I'm getting paid to do this!' Passion is the extreme emotional energy that keeps the engine running, both in terms of how workers make sense of themselves and their role in the 'creativity machine' of the media industries, and how the work gets 'sold' to newcomers and outsiders: as something you have to be passionate about.

(How to use) this book

Structuring the dynamic world of making media in production, practices, and professions allows us to focus on the different ways these principal components impact (and are shaped by) the way professionals work. In each section, the various authors address several (if not all) of these components in terms of their specific research topic. We endeavoured to include a wide array of researchers – 53 authors from fourteen different countries – who provide different theoretical perspectives as well as insights into internationally varying experiences. Chapters within each section are divided by theme, and relate to each other as some focus on general, overarching developments, while others offer detailed case studies in specific national or industrial contexts. At the end of each chapter we offer a reading guide with three suggested connections to other chapters, organized around a taxonomy of *cases* – referring to another chapter where a specific case or example of the argument is elaborated in more detail; *contexts* – linking to work in the book that provides the bigger picture of the phenomenon under investigation; and *contrasts* – thought-provoking additional reading offering alternate or critical perspectives.

It is our intention that this book serves as a gateway to the scholarly study of (professional) media making, as well as a way to prepare for (and make sense of) a career in the media industries. To that effect, there is no single pathway through the book. We advise the reader to pick a first chapter to read (after this one) based on a specific question or concern he or she may have, and take it from there – either

by subsequently reading the other chapters within that particular section or by following the taxonomy of recommendations we have included. The works cited in the reference section at the end of each chapter may serve as further suggestions for study beyond this book.

By way of introduction, we conclude this chapter with a short summary of the how the three sections of this book are organized.

The first section of this book, on media *production*, kicks off with a series of essays on the history, traditions, and contemporary challenges of media industries and production scholarship. The study of media production and work knows a rich history, coming from a variety of disciplines and coalescing around a couple of fundamental approaches, generally divided into: sociological approaches to the organization of media work; political economy approaches to the media; management, business and organizational studies; and media and cultural policy studies. Recurring questions inspiring such research focus on the various ways in which the creative and production processes in media industries are organized, coordinated, and managed, what role and influence media ownership, size, and strategy have (specifically regarding the autonomy of media workers and the diversity of media), and what the nature and experience of work is in the media industries.

The section on media production starts off with chapters by Jennifer Holt and Alisa Perren, David Lee and Anna Zoellner, and Patrick Vonderau in which they take stock of the research on media industries and highlight several issues that researchers face. Providing a conceptual framework, Bernhard Miège revisits and updates his earlier foundational work, while David Nieborg and Thomas Poell give insight in the process of platformization. Similarly, Dwayne Winseck, Terry Flew, and Nicolas Suzor offer reviews of important developments in media policy and how this affects the organization of work in the industry. Tackling fundamental issues regarding the business of media industries, the contributions by Chris Bilton, Paolo Faustino and Eli Noam, and Mikko Villi and Robert Picard address what the transformation of business models means for media managers. Amidst analyses about how media audiences' needs are shifting and consumers are even turning into producers themselves, Göran Bolin, Sylvia Chan-Olmsted, and Rang Wang offer important perspectives on the changing nature of value as well as markets for media production.

In the second section of the book – on the *practices* of making media – Arne Krumsvik, Stefania Milan, Niamh Ní Bhroin, and Tanja Storsul unpack the dominant rhetoric of innovation in making media, Stefan Werning unravels the discourse on startups and entrepreneurship, and Vincent Mosco calls attention to the profound role automation, robotization, and data analytics have come to play. Beyond these broad perspectives we look at the concrete experiences of (different categories of) media professionals in a changing industry, whereby Penny O'Donnell and

Lawrie Zion, Doris Ruth Eikhof and Stevie Marsden, and Nicole Cohen offer cases in Australia, the United Kingdom, and Canada. Recognizing that what gives making media meaning is often determined by its potential for self-fulfillment, Eugenia Siapera, Zelmarie Cantillon, and Sarah Baker examine the pitfalls of media making as a form of affective labour and Ilana Gershon and Mark Deuze offer alternative perspectives on how to ‘make it work’ in the media.

In the concluding section of the book – on media *professions* – we take all the important work on media industries and production on the road through a variety of case studies of specific industries and accompanying professions, ranging from music and recording (Sofia Johansson and Leslie Meier), television (Amanda Lotz and Paul Dwyer), social media entertainment (Brooke Erin Duffy and David Craig), advertising and public relations (Sara Rosengren and Dustin Supa), digital games (Aphra Kerr and Casey O’Donnell), and journalism (Ana Serrano Tellería, Amanda Brouwers, and Tamara Witschge).

We wrap up the book with a discussion with Henry Jenkins, whose publications on textual poaching, convergence culture, and spreadable media have been so influential. He is arguably one of the few scholars in the field of media industries and production whose work speaks to both the industry and the academy. Responding to his observations are colleagues from around the world: Tanja Bosch, Anthony Fung, and Elizabeth Saad Corrêa. We conducted interviews with these keen observers of the media on the basis of key insights we gained from assembling this book.

There is a lively debate among those studying media industries and production whether the kind of scholarship as represented in this book can, or even should, serve the interests of the industry and those vying a career in the media. Some advocate direct engagement with the industry, seeking a dialogue with hopes of improving the plight of media workers, identifying creative and innovative potential, hoping that scholarship can contribute to making better media (Jenkins, 2006; Jenkins, Ford & Green, 2013). Others remain sceptical about such alliances, instead arguing for sustained critique regarding the ways in which the media are particularly good at exploiting the emotional investment and passion of (especially younger) media makers, how commercial pressures serve to frustrate and distort the creative autonomy and range of voices possible in mainstream media production, and how schools and universities become complicit in maintaining often poor working conditions across the cultural, creative, and media industries (Mayer, 2011, 2017; McRobbie, 2016; Banks, 2017). In general, it is important to note that most, if not all scholars in this area are deeply invested in improving the working lives of media professionals, in promoting a rich diversity in media making practices across the various industries, and in further professionalizing the emerging discipline of

media production studies (including, but not limited to Deuze, 2007, 2011; Holt & Perren, 2009; Paterson, Lee, Saha & Zoellner, 2015).

The idea for this book was born when developing the outline for a brand new course for (advanced) undergraduate students who are considering careers in the media. Instead of offering them skills training or internship preparation, the goal was to give them a critical sense of the key forces, dynamics, and trends shaping the work of those who make media professionally. The learning goal was to prepare them for a job and, at the same time, help them critically reflect on what it is like to make media. The hope is that the approach and range of perspectives outlined here inspire students to do research and develop theory, as well as analyse and debate the role production practices play in the creation of media.

Making media is exciting, frustrating, inspiring, bewildering, problematic, complicated, and fun – and this book endeavours to be all of that, too.

References

- Banks, M. (2017). *Creative Justice: Cultural Industries, Work and Inequality*. London: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Bourdieu, P. (1998). *Acts of Resistance: Against the New Myths of Our Time*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Deuze, M. (2007). *Media Work*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Deuze, M. (ed.). (2011). *Managing Media Work*. London: SAGE Publications.
- Deuze, M. & Witschge, T. (2018). 'Beyond Journalism: Theorising the Transformation of Journalism', *Journalism*, 19(2), 165-181.
- Goyanes, M. & Rodríguez-Gómez, E.F. (2018). 'Presentism in the Newsroom: How Uncertainty Redefines Journalists' Career Expectations', *Journalism*, 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1464884918767585>.
- Hesmondhalgh, D. (ed.). (2006). *Media Production*. Maidenhead and Milton Keynes: Open University Press.
- Hesmondhalgh, D. & Baker, S. (2011). *Creative Labour: Media Work in Three Cultural Industries*. London: Routledge.
- Perren, A. & Holt, J. (eds). (2009). *Media Industries: History, Method, and Theory*. Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Jenkins, H. (2006). *Convergence Culture. Where Old and New Media Collide*. New York: New York University Press.
- Jenkins, H., Ford, S. & Green, J. (2013). *Spreadable Media*. New York: New York University Press.
- Luhmann, N. (1990). 'The Autopoiesis of Social Systems', in N. Luhmann, *Essays on Self-reference* (1-20). New York: Columbia University Press.

- Mayer, V. (2011). *Below the Line: Television Producers and Production Studies in the New Economy*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Mayer, V. (2017). *Almost Hollywood, nearly New Orleans: A Film Economy Romance*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- McRobbie, A. (2016). *Be Creative*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Mingers, J. (2003). 'Can Social Systems be Autopoietic? Bhaskar and Giddens' Social Theories', *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 34(4), 403-428.
- Napoli, P. (2014). 'On Automation in Media Industries: Integrating Algorithmic Media Production into Media Industries Scholarship', *Media Industries Journal*, 1(1), 33-38.
- Sørensen, B.M. (2008). "Behold, I am Making all Things New": The Entrepreneur as Savior in the Age of Creativity', *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, 24(2), 85-93.
- Paterson, C., Lee, D., Saha, A. & Zoellner, A. (eds). (2015). *Advancing Media Production Research*. Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillan.
- Witschge, T. & Nygren, G. (2009). 'Journalism: A Profession under Pressure?', *Journal of Media Business Studies*, 6(1), 37-59.

Author Biographies

Sarah Baker is a cultural sociologist and Professor at Griffith University, Australia. Her books include *Community Custodians of Popular Music's Past: A DIY Approach to Heritage* (2017), *Teaching Youth Studies Through Popular Culture* (with Brady Robards, 2014), and *Creative Labour: Media Work in Three Cultural Industries* (with David Hesmondhalgh, 2011).

Chris Bilton teaches management and marketing in the creative and media industries at the Centre for Cultural and Media Policy Studies, University of Warwick, United Kingdom. His research centres on the relationship between creativity and strategy. He is the author of several articles and books, including *Management and Creativity* (2007), 'Uncreativity: The Dark Side of Creativity' (2015), and *The Disappearing Product* (2017). He is currently working on a book on mapping creativities with Stephen Cummings and dt ogilvie.

Göran Bolin is Professor of Media & Communication Studies at Södertörn University, Stockholm, Sweden. His present research interests focus on the relations between media production and consumption, especially in the wake of digitalization and datafication. Bolin has worked in or headed research projects on violence in the media, youth and cultural production, entertainment television and the relation between production practices and textual expressions, media consumption, and the production of value in cultural industries. His publications include *Value and the Media: Cultural Production and Consumption in Digital Markets* (2011), *Media Generations: Experience, Identity and Mediatized Social Change* (2016), and the edited volume *Cultural Technologies. The Shaping of Culture in Media and Society* (2012).

Tanja Bosch is Associate Professor in Media Studies and Production at the Centre for Film and Media Studies, University of Cape Town, South Africa. She teaches and conducts research in social media, democracy, and politics. Her book, *Broadcasting Democracy* (2018), explores the role of local radio in identity production in South Africa. She is currently conducting research on social media and everyday life in South Africa.

Amanda Brouwers is a PhD candidate at the Department of Journalism and Media Studies, University of Groningen, the Netherlands. Her research is part of the Dutch NWO-funded project 'Entrepreneurship at work'. Her project addresses issues such as tacit knowing, lived experiences of failure, and implicit norms in entrepreneurial journalism. She studies these issues through auto-ethnography:

conducting entrepreneurial journalism and reflecting on the experiences gained. The entrepreneurial venture she set up is a podcast production house called PodGront (Podgront.nl). The aim of PodGront is to find a sustainable business model for podcasting in the Netherlands, and subsequently to investigate how audio can be used beyond the forms in which it is used now.

Zelmarie Cantillon is a Research Fellow at Griffith University, Australia. She is Postdoctoral co-editor of *The Routledge Companion to Popular Music History and Heritage* (2018), author of *Resort Spatiality: Reimagining sites of Mass Tourism* (2019), and has published numerous book chapters and journal articles. Her research focuses on spatiality, tourism, and heritage.

Sylvia Chan-Olmsted is the Director of Media Consumer Research at the University of Florida in the United States. She also teaches brand management, consumer and audience analytics, and media management. Her research expertise includes digital/mobile media consumption, branding, and strategic management in emerging media/communications industries. Her studies involve audience engagement conceptualization/measurement, development and marketing of mobile media content, cross-platform audience behaviour, and branded content. Recipient of over 20 national and international research awards, she holds the AI and Effie Flanagan Professorship at the University of Florida and is the recipient of the 2014 Award of Honor presented by the *Journal of Media Economics* for scholarly contribution to the field.

Nicole S. Cohen is Assistant Professor at the University of Toronto, Canada. She is the author of the award-winning *Writers' Rights: Freelance Journalism in a Digital Age* (2016) and has published many scholarly and popular media articles on issues of work, labour, and collective organizing in media and cultural industries. She is part of the collaborative international research project Cultural Workers Organize (culturalworkersorganize.org), which examines collective responses to precarity and workers' efforts to make media and cultural work equitable.

David Craig is Clinical Associate Professor at the University of Southern California Annenberg School for Communication and Journalism, United States. He teaches graduate courses in legacy and social media industries, management, and practice in the MA of Communication Management programme. His research with Stuart Cunningham into the emerging creator industry will be published by NYU Press in 2019 as *Social Media Entertainment: The New Intersection of Hollywood and Silicon Valley*, followed by a field-setting anthology on creator studies in 2020. Craig is also a veteran Hollywood producer and cable network programming executive. He has

supervised production of more than 30 projects that have garnered over 75 Emmy, Golden Globe, and Peabody nominations. He was also appointed a Peabody Fellow in the Peabody Media Center and teaches and conducts research at Shanghai Jiao Tong University at the Institute of Cultural and Creative Studies.

Mark Deuze is Professor of Media Studies at the University of Amsterdam's Faculty of Humanities in the Netherlands. From 2004 to 2013, he worked at Indiana University's Department of Telecommunications in Bloomington, IN, United States. Publications of his work include over 90 papers in academic journals and nine books, including the monographs *Media Work* (2007) and *Media Life* (2012). He is also the bass player and singer of post-grunge band Skinflower.

Brooke Erin Duffy is Assistant Professor in the Department of Communication at Cornell University in the United States. Her research examines the cultures and political economies of social media, with a focus on gender and creative labour. She is the author of *(Not) Getting Paid to Do What You Love: Gender, Social Media, and Aspirational Work* (2017) and *Remake, Remodel: Women's Magazines in the Digital Age* (2013). Her research has been published in such journals as *New Media & Society*, *the International Journal of Communication*, *Critical Studies in Media Communication*, *Communication, Culture & Critique*, *the International Journal of Cultural Studies*, *Social Media + Society*, and *The Communication Review*. Her popular writing has appeared in *The Atlantic*, *Times Higher Education Supplement*, and *Quartz*, among others.

Paul Dwyer is Director of Academic Enterprise for University of Westminster's School of Media Art and Design, United Kingdom, and founder and Director of the Creative Enterprise Centre, which seeks to involve creative businesses in education, enable students to work as freelancers while they study, and helps students start-up new creative businesses or gain their first jobs on graduation. He is course leader of the MA International Media Business, a member of Westminster's CAMRI research group, and author of the book *Understanding Media Production: Theory and Practice* (2018). He has worked for independent TV production companies and the BBC, directing and producing drama, documentary, arts and entertainment, current affairs and news programmes (e.g. *Panorama*, *Rough Justice*, *Inside Story*). He was Head of Development for Documentary and Specialist Factual, leading a team of producers developing and pitching new formats, series, and films.

Doris Ruth Eikhof is Deputy Director of the CAMEo Research Institute for Cultural and Media Studies and Associate Professor at the School of Business, University of Leicester, United Kingdom. She has worked extensively on work and employment in the cultural

industries, focusing in particular on career management, self-entrepreneurship, and workforce diversity and how they interlink, e.g. with cultural organizations' business models and cultural policy. Since 2009, she has led fourteen funded research projects including a large multi-disciplinary, multi-institutional research consortium for the Digital R&D Fund for Arts and Culture in Scotland funded by the AHRC, Nesta, and Creative Scotland. Further contract research included projects for the British Film Institute, Creative Scotland, and various arts organizations. A former HR officer, she has ample experience in working with practitioners and policymakers.

Paulo Faustino is chairman of the International Media Management Academic Association (IMMAA, immaa.org) and is a researcher at the Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities of Nova University in Lisbon, Portugal, with research activities at the Centre of Tele-Information of Columbia University, United States. He is co-founder of the MA in Communication and Management of Creative Industries and Professor at the Journalism and Sciences Communication Department at Porto University, as well as co-director, with Terry Flew, of the *Journal of Creative Industries and Cultural Studies* (JOCIS).

Terry Flew is Professor of Media and Communication in the Creative Industries Faculty at the Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia. He is the author of eleven books, including *Understanding Global Media* (2007), *Politics, Media and Democracy in Australia* (2017), *Global Media and National Policies: The Return of the State* (2016), *Media Economics* (2015), *Global Creative Industries* (2013), and *The Creative Industries, Culture and Policy* (2011). He serves as President of the International Communications Association (ICA) in 2019–20, organizing the 69th ICA Annual Conference in Washington DC in 2019.

Anthony Y.H. Fung is Professor in the School of Journalism and Communication at the Chinese University of Hong Kong. He is also a Professor in the School of Art and Communication under The Recruitment Program of Global Experts at Beijing Normal University at Beijing, China. His research interests and teaching focus on popular culture and cultural studies, popular music, gender and youth identity, cultural industries and policy, and digital media studies. He has published widely in international journals, and authored and edited more than 20 Chinese and English books. Among his books are *Youth Cultures in China* (with Jeroen de Kloet, 2016), *Global Game Industries and Cultural Policy* (2016), and *Hong Kong Game Industry, Cultural Policy and East Asian Rivalry* (2018).

Ilana Gershon is the Ruth N. Hall Professor of Anthropology at Indiana University in the United States, and the author of *Down and Out in the New Economy* (2017),

No Family is an Island (2012), and *The Breakup 2.0* (2010). She studies how people use new media to perform complicated social tasks, such as getting a job or ending a romantic relationship. She also edits books of ethnographic fictions, such as *A World of Work: Imagined Manuals for Real Jobs* (2015), which discuss how to be a journalist in Buryat or a professional wrestler in Mexico.

Jennifer Holt is Associate Professor of Film and Media Studies at the University of California, Santa Barbara, United States. She is the author of *Empires of Entertainment* (2011) and co-editor of *Distribution Revolution* (2014), *Connected Viewing* (2013), and *Media Industries: History, Theory, Method* (2009). Her work has appeared in journals and anthologies including *Cinema Journal*, *Journal of Information Policy*, and *Signal Traffic: Critical Studies of Media Infrastructures*. She is a former Director of the Carsey-Wolf Center's Media Industries Project, and a co-founder of the *Media Industries Journal*.

Henry Jenkins is the Provost Professor of Communication, Journalism, Cinematic Arts and Education at the University of Southern California in the United States. He is the author and/or editor of numerous books on various aspects of media and popular culture, including *Textual Poachers: Television Fans and Participatory Culture* (2nd edition, 2012), *Convergence Culture: Where Old and New Media Collide* (2006), *Spreadable Media: Creating Meaning and Value in a Networked Culture* (with Sam Ford and Joshua Green, 2013), and *By Any Media Necessary: The New Youth Activism* (with Sangita Shresthova, Liana Gamber-Thompson, Neta Kligler-Vilenchik, and Arely Zimmerman, 2016). He is editing a handbook on the civic imagination and writing a book on 'comics and stuff'. He has written for *Technology Review*, *Computer Games*, *Salon*, and *The Huffington Post*.

Sofia Johansson is Senior Lecturer in Media Studies at the University of Södertörn in Stockholm, Sweden. Her research interests cover a wide range of phenomena relating to popular culture and media audiences, and she has published widely on topics such as popular journalism, celebrity culture, and online sociality, with a current interest in the everyday contexts and meanings of music streaming and digital music cultures. Among her books are the co-authored *Media Landscapes and Media Cultures* (2016) and *Streaming Music: Practices, Media, Cultures* (2017).

Aphra Kerr is Senior Lecturer and chair of the MA in Sociology (Internet and Society) at Maynooth University in Ireland. She is chair of the Communication Policy & Technology section of the International Association for Media and Communications Research (IAMCR). Current research interests include production, work and diversity in digital games and data politics. Among her publications are

Global Games: Production, Circulation and Policy in the Networked Era (2017) and she was associate editor of *The International Encyclopaedia of Digital Communication and Society* (2015).

Arne H. Krumsvik is Head of Department at the Department of Media and Communications, University of Oslo, and Professor II at Westerdals Oslo School of Arts, Communication and Technology in Oslo, Norway, as well as Affiliated Researcher at the Media Management and Transformation Centre, Jönköping International Business School, Jönköping University, Sweden. He is co-founder of the Centre for Research on Media Innovations at the University of Oslo and the *Journal of Media Innovations*.

David Lee is Lecturer in Cultural Industries and Communication at the University of Leeds, United Kingdom. His research interests include creative labour, media industries, and television studies. He is the author of *Independent Television in the UK: From Cottage Industry to Big Business* (2018), co-author of *Culture, Economy and Politics: The Case of New Labour* (with David Hesmondhalgh, Kate Oakley, and Melissa Nisbett, 2015), and co-editor of *Advancing Media Production Research* (2016). He has published extensively in refereed journals and his research has been funded by the AHRC and the ESRC.

Amanda D. Lotz is Professor of Television and Media Studies at the Queensland University of Technology's School of Communication and the Digital Media Research Centre (DMRC) in Brisbane, Australia. Before that, she worked at the University of Michigan in Ann Arbor, MI, United States, and she is a fellow at the Peabody Media Center. She is the author, co-author, and editor of books that explore television and media industries, including *We Now Disrupt This Broadcast: How Cable Transformed Television and the Internet Revolutionized It All* (2018), *The Television Will Be Revolutionized* (2nd edition, 2014), and *Portals: A Treatise on Internet-Distributed Television* (2017).

Stevie Marsden is a Research Associate at the CAMEo Research Institute for Cultural and Media Studies, University of Leicester, United Kingdom. Her PhD, 'The Saltire Society Literary Awards, A Cultural History: 1936-2015', was completed in 2016 and is the first comprehensive analysis of the Saltire Society Literary Awards, Scotland's oldest series of book awards. She completed her PhD through an Arts and Humanities Collaborative Doctoral Award and worked alongside the Saltire Society in the administration and development of their awards whilst carrying out her research. Her research interests are situated within the literary economy and contemporary publishing culture, specifically literary award culture, judgement culture, and access and inclusivity within the publishing industry.

Leslie M. Meier is Lecturer in Media and Communication at the University of Leeds, United Kingdom. She is the author of *Popular Music as Promotion: Music and Branding in the Digital Age* (2017). Her research interests include the music industries, promotional media, and consumer culture, and the intersections between them.

Bernard Miège is Emeritus Professor of Information and Communication Sciences at Grenoble Alpes University, France, following Stendhal University Grenoble 3, where he has worked since 1973, after having worked as a researcher at the French Ministry of Culture. He assumed various pedagogical, scientific (he was co-founder and director of the GRESEC research laboratory) and administrative responsibilities (he was president of his university). He is the author of 20 books, several of which have been translated into various languages, as well as many articles. His work covers the following areas: the industrialization of culture, information and communications, the mutations of public space, the expansion of ICTS in societies, and the epistemology of information-communication. He continues his activity within the GRESEC research laboratory and also carries out international scientific cooperations.

Stefania Milan is Associate Professor of New Media and Digital Culture at University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands, Associate Professor II of Media Innovation at the University of Oslo, Norway, and a Research Associate at the Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology and Society, Tilburg University, the Netherlands, the Internet Policy Observatory of Annenberg School of Communication, University of Pennsylvania, United States, and the Center for Center for Media, Data and Society, Central European University, Hungary.

Vincent Mosco is Professor Emeritus of Sociology at Queen's University, Canada, where he held the Canada Research Chair in Communication and Society. He is currently Distinguished Professor of Communication, New Media Centre, Fudan University, Shanghai, China. He is the author or editor of 23 books including *The Digital Sublime* (2004), *The Political Economy of Communication* (2009), and *Becoming Digital: Toward a Post-Internet Society* (2017). His *To the Cloud: Big Data in a Turbulent World* (2014) was named a 2014 Outstanding Academic Title by Choice: Current Reviews for Academic Libraries.

Niamh Ní Bhroin is Postdoctoral Research Fellow at the Department of Media and Communications, University of Oslo, Norway. Her project is titled 'Social Media-Innovation: Exploring the empowerment of digital media users'. She is Board Member of the Centre for Research on Media Innovations at the University of Oslo.

David B. Nieborg is Assistant Professor of Media Studies at the University of Toronto in Canada. His work on the political economy of platforms, the military-entertainment complex, and games journalism has been published in academic outlets such as *New Media & Society*, *Social Media + Society*, *Media, Culture & Society*, and the *European Journal for Cultural Studies*. His current research project concerns app studies, which focuses on app economics, app advertising, and political economic methodology.

Eli Noam has been Professor of Economics and Finance at the Columbia Business School at Columbia University, United States, since 1976 and its Garrett Professor of Public Policy and Business Responsibility. He was appointed by the White House to the President's IT Advisory Committee. Noam is Director of the Columbia Institute for Tele-Information, a research centre focusing on management and policy issues in communications, internet, and media. He has published 30 books and over 400 articles in economics journals, law reviews, and interdisciplinary journals, and is a regular columnist for the *Financial Times*. His books include *Media Ownership and Concentration in America* (2009), *Who Own's the World's Media?* (2015), and *Media and Digital Management* (2018).

Casey O'Donnell is Associate Professor in the Department of Media and Information at Michigan State University, United States. His research examines the creative collaborative work of videogame design and development, exploring the cultural and collaborative dynamics that occur in both professional game organizations and formal and informal independent game development communities. His research has spanned game development companies from the United States to India. His first book, *Developer's Dilemma*, was published by MIT Press in 2014. O'Donnell is an active game developer, releasing *Osy* in 2011, *Against the Gradient* in 2012, *GLITcH* in 2013, and *Kerem B'Yavneh* in 2016. His work has been funded by the National Science Foundation and the National Institute of Health.

Penny O'Donnell is Senior Lecturer in International Media and Journalism at the University of Sydney, Australia. Her research interests include work futures in global journalism, comparative media research, and digital transformation of the Australian media landscape. She is a Chief Investigator on the New Beats Project (newbeatsblog.com), an ARC-funded study of the aftermath of job loss and re-employment in Australian journalism. Internationalization of the project now includes case studies on Canada, Indonesia, and the Netherlands. Recent publications from the project have appeared in the scholarly journals *Journalism Studies*, *Journalism*, *Journalism Practice*, and *Australian Journalism Review*.

Alisa Perren is Associate Professor at the Department of Radio-TV-Film at The University of Texas in Austin, United States. She is co-editor of *Media Industries: History, Theory, and Method* (2009), author of *Indie, Inc.: Miramax and the Transformation of Hollywood in the 1990s* (2012), and co-founder as well as former co-managing editor of the journal *Media Industries*. Her book project *The American Comic Book Industry and Hollywood* (2018) is co-authored with Gregory Steirer for BFI's International Screen Industries series.

Robert G. Picard is a Senior Research Fellow at the Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism at the University of Oxford, United Kingdom, a Fellow of the Royal Society of Arts, and a Fellow at the Information Society Project at Yale University Law School, United States. He was founding editor of the *Journal of Media Business Studies* and the *Journal of Media Economics*.

Thomas Poell is Senior Lecturer in New Media & Digital Culture and Programme Director of the Research Master Media Studies at the University of Amsterdam in the Netherlands. He has published widely on social media and popular protest in Canada, Egypt, Tunisia, India, and China, as well as on the role of these media in the development of new forms of journalism. His co-authored and co-edited books include *Global Cultures of Contestation* (Palgrave/McMillan, 2017), *The Sage Handbook of Social Media* (Sage, 2018), and *The Platform Society* (Oxford University Press, 2018).

Mirjam Prenger is Assistant Professor at the Department of Media Studies at the University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands, and was Programme Director of the Master Journalism and Media there. She researches the history of media innovation and entrepreneurship, as well as the relationship between journalism and public relations, and has authored, co-authored, and edited books on the state of Dutch journalism, self-censorship, and the history of broadcast journalism in the Netherlands. She has a professional background in journalism.

Sara Rosengren is Professor of Business Administration (Marketing) and holder of the ICA Retailers' Chair in Business Administration, especially Retailing, at Stockholm School of Economics, Sweden. Rosengren's research deals with consumer behaviour, marketing communications, and retailing. She is best known for her research on advertising and her research has been published in academic journals such as the *Journal of Advertising*, *Journal of Advertising Research*, and *Journal of Business Research*.

Elizabeth Saad Corrêa is Full Senior Professor and Research Leader at the School of Communication and Arts, University of São Paulo, Brazil. She is the Coordinator

of the COM+ Research Group on Communication, Media and Digital Journalism at the University of São Paulo and the author and editor of several books on journalism and media strategy.

Ana Serrano Tellería is Associate Professor at the Journalism Faculty of the University of Castilla La Mancha in Spain. Her work focuses on media studies, design, multimedia-transmedia, mobile devices, games, and performing arts. She has done postdoctoral work at LabCom.IFP, University of Beira Interior in Portugal, MESO, University of San Andrés in Argentina, and Northwestern University in the United States. Serrano Tellería is the recipient of research grants from various organizations, including the Spanish Confederation of Young Entrepreneurs, the University of the Basque Country, the Brazilian Ministry of Education, the European Union, and the Marcelino Botin Foundation. She is also a theatre teacher, writer, artist, and performer.

Eugenia Siapera is Associate Professor at the School of Communications, Dublin City University, Ireland. She is the Chair of MA Social Media Communications and Deputy Director of the Institute for Future Media and Journalism. She has published several articles and book chapters on topics connected to new media, journalism, and politics. Her book *Understanding New Media* was published with Sage in its second edition in 2018.

Tanja Storsul is Director of the Institute for Social Research, Oslo, Norway, and a member of the Research Board for the Division of Innovation (Research Council of Norway) and the DG Connect Advisory Forum for Horizon 2020. She was the founding Director of the Centre for Research on Media Innovations (CeRMI) at the University of Oslo, and she is considered to be one of the founders of media innovations studies. Her research interests include changing media structures and how new media technologies challenge the media, the media industry, and media policy.

Dustin Supa serves as Senior Associate Dean and as Associate Professor of Public Relations for the College of Communication at Boston University, United States. His research interests include media relations, corporate advocacy, and the integration of public relations as part of the overall organizational communication matrix. His background includes work in agency, not-for-profit, and government public relations.

Nicolas Suzor is Associate Professor at the Queensland University of Technology, Australia, where he researches the regulation of networked society. He is an ARC DECRA Research Fellow in the Law School at QUT in Brisbane, Australia, and a Chief

Investigator of QUT's Digital Media Research Centre. His research examines the governance of the internet and social networks, the peer economy, digital copyright, and knowledge commons. Suzor is also the Legal Lead of the Creative Commons Australia project and the deputy chair of Digital Rights Watch, an Australian non-profit organization whose mission is to ensure that Australian citizens are equipped, empowered, and enabled to uphold their digital rights. Suzor is the recipient of an Australian Research Council DECRA Fellowship (project number DE160101542).

Mikko Villi is Professor of Journalism at the Department of Language and Communication Studies at the University of Jyväskylä, Finland. His work focuses on the contemporary context for journalism, especially new media platforms and social media. Among his interests are also the study of media organizations and media management, thereby connecting the study of organizational communication with journalism and media studies. He has published in a wide variety of academic journals, such as *Journalism Studies*, *Digital Journalism*, and *The International Journal on Media Management*.

Patrick Vonderau is Professor at the Department of Media Studies at Stockholm University, Sweden. His latest book publications as (co-)author and (co-)editor include *Spotify Teardown: Inside the Black Box of Streaming Music* (2018), *Films that Sell: Moving Pictures and Advertising* (2016), *Behind the Screen: Inside European Production Cultures* (2013), *Moving Data: The iPhone and the Future of Media* (2012), *Films that Work: Industrial Film and the Productivity of Media* (2009), and *The YouTube Reader* (2009). He is on the editorial board of the *Media Industries Journal* and *Montage AV*, the leading German-language journal of film and television studies. He co-founded NECS-European Network for Cinema and Media Studies in 2006.

Rang Wang is a doctoral student specialized in Advertising at the University of Florida, United States. Prior to graduate school, she worked as an Account Executive in advertising agencies in Shanghai, China. Her research interests include consumer engagement, branded content, influencer marketing, and brand-consumer relationship. She tries to create a bridge between the academia and the industry.

Stefan Werning is Associate Professor for New Media and Game Studies at Utrecht University, the Netherlands, where he founded the Utrecht Game Lab (2014) and co-coordinates the graduate programme Game Research. He previously held positions as Assistant Professor for Digital Media at the University of Bayreuth (2009–2014) and at the University of Bonn (2004–2006). While completing his PhD thesis, Werning worked in the digital games industry, most notably at Nintendo

of Europe (2007–2009). Since completing a DAAD-funded visiting scholarship at MIT, he has been a fellow of the Convergence Culture Consortium. He is currently finishing a book on cultural implications of game development tools for the Playful Thinking series at MIT Press.

Dwayne R. Winseck is Professor at the School of Journalism and Communication, with a cross-appointment to the Institute of Political Economy, Carleton University, Ottawa, Canada. His research interests include the political economy of media, internet and telecommunications, media history, and media theory. He is also the Director of the Canadian Media Concentration Research Project. His co-authored book with Robert Pike, *Communication and Empire: Media, Markets and Globalization, 1860–1930* (2007), won the Canadian Communication Association's book-of-the-year prize in 2008. He is also co-editor, with Dal Yong Jin, of *Political Economies of the Media* (2011) and several other edited and sole-authored books.

Tamara Witschge is Associate Professor and Rosalind Franklin Fellow at the Faculty of Arts, University of Groningen, the Netherlands. Her research explores the ways in which cultural, technological, economic and social changes are reconfiguring journalism and other cultural industries, with a particular focus on innovation and entrepreneurship. She is co-editor of the *Sage Handbook of Digital Journalism* (2016) and co-author of *Changing Journalism* (2011) and *Beyond Journalism* (2019).

Lawrie Zion is Professor of Journalism at La Trobe University in Melbourne, Australia, and leads the New Beats Project (newbeatsblog.com), which explores the aftermath of journalist jobs losses. He is a former broadcaster and journalist and the writer of the award-winning 2007 documentary *The Sounds of Aus*, which told the story of the Australian accent. His 2017 book *The Weather Obsession* examined how digital media has changed the way we connect to the weather and climate.

Anna Zoellner is Lecturer in Media Industries at the School of Media and Communication at the University of Leeds, United Kingdom, with a professional background in film and television production. Her research interests lie at the intersection of media industries, media production studies, cultural labour research, and television studies, with a methodological interest in ethnography and internationally comparative research. Her work has been published in edited volumes and peer-reviewed journals including *Mass Communication and Society*, *Journal for the Study of British Cultures*, and the *Journal of Media Practice*. She is co-editor of *Advancing Media Production Research* (2016).